EPA APPROVED FINAL

Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List



Prepared by:

Nevada Division of Environmental Protection Bureau of Water Quality Planning November 2005

For additional information, contact:

E. Samuel Stegeman, P.E.

Nevada Division of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Water Quality Planning

333 W. Nye Lane, Room 138

Carson City, NV 89706

(775) 687-9451

Email: sstegema@ndep.nv.gov

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Background on Water Quality Standards	1
General Listing Criteria	2
Evaluating Numeric Standards and Data	2
Data Sources and Requirements	3
Data and Information Sources	3
Minimum Data Requirements and Listing	5
Detection Limits	6
Toxics	6
Accounting for Extreme Events	7
Field and Laboratory Data	7
Biological Assessments	7
Continuous Monitoring Data	8
Additional Considerations during the Listing Assessments	8
Standards, Control Points and the Tributary Rule	8
Designated and Class Waters	9
Single Value and Annual Average/Median Standards	9
Antidegradation Considerations	9
Tribal Water Quality Standards	10
Natural Condition-Based Water Quality Standards	10
Natural Background Considerations	
Narrative Standards	
Special Considerations for Lakes	13
Delisting	
TMDL Prioritization Schedule	14
Summary of Methodology and Findings	14
Current Status of TMDL Development	16
Established TMDLs	17
Other TMDL Activities	19
Statewide Observations	19
Data Limitations	19
Water Quality Standards	20
pH	21
Naturally Occurring Pollutants	21
Metals and Detection Limits	21
Zinc	22
Truckee River Metals Monitoring	22
Total Recoverable vs. Dissolved Concentrations (Metals)	22
Arsenic	23
Fecal Coliform	23
Nonpont Source Impairments	
Other Factors Causing and Related to Impairment	24
Funding Limitations	25
Glossary	26

List of Tables

Table 2. Sum Table 3. Sum	mary of Natural Condition-Based Water Quality Standards
Appendices	
Appendix A.	Nevada's 2004 303(d) List of Impaired Waterbodies
Appendix B.	List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain
	Higher Quality Water)
Appendix C.	List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation
Appendix D.	Delisted Waterbodies
Appendix E.	Summary of NDEP Monitoring Program
Appendix F.	Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2004 303(d) List

EPA Approved Final: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List

Introduction

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that States develop a list of waterbodies needing additional work beyond existing controls to achieve or maintain water quality standards. This list, referred to as the Section 303(d) List, provides a comprehensive inventory of water bodies impaired by all sources, including point sources, nonpoint sources, or a combination of both. The 303(d) List is the basis for targeting water bodies for watershed-based solutions, and the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) process provides an organized framework to develop these solutions.

Subpart C of 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) Part 130 requires that states develop descriptions of the criteria and process used in generating their 303(d) lists. Following is a summary of the methodology utilized by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) in developing the 2002 303(d) List and the listed waterbodies. The 2004 303(d) List has been developed using the 2002 303(d) List as its core. Where available, an additional two years of data acquisition for further analysis of waters have been incorporated into the identification of impaired waters. When applicable, modified standards or application of revised analysis procedures approved by EPA are utilized in the List development.

On July 11, 2000, past EPA Administrator Carol Browner signed new TMDL rules which represent significant changes to the current regulations and to content and format requirements of the 303(d) List. However at this time, the new TMDL regulations are not in effect and the exact future of these regulations is unknown. Because of the controversy, Congress prevented the implementation of the rule through passage of an appropriations bill which prohibits the obligation or expenditure of Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001 funds for the new TMDL rules or for any related technical assistance or guidance. This action moved the effective date of the rules to October 1, 2001. On July 16, 2001, EPA announced its plan to propose an 18-month extension of the effective date of the rule to provide time to review and possibly revise the rule. On October 18, 2001, the TMDL rule delay was made official. As a result of this action by EPA, the 2002 303(d) List is due to EPA on October 1, 2002 and the new TMDL rules have been delayed until April 30, 2003. Therefore, the 2002 303(d) List was developed in accordance with the current regulations. The 2004 303(d) List was developed using 2004 Integrated Reporting Guidance using the 2002 303(d) List as the core source for the 2004 listing.

Background on Water Quality Standards

Nevada's water quality standards, contained in the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 445A.119 – 445A.225, define the water quality goals for a waterbody, or a portion of a waterbody, by: 1) designating beneficial uses of the water; and 2) setting criteria necessary to protect the beneficial uses. Beneficial uses include, but are not limited to, irrigation, recreation, aquatic life, fisheries, and drinking water. In many instances, NAC defines two or more reaches for a river system, with each reach possibly having different beneficial uses and water quality standards.

Both narrative and numeric criteria are included in Nevada's water quality standards. The narrative standards are applicable to all surface waters of the state and consist mostly of statements requiring waters to be "free from" various pollutants including those that are toxic. The numeric standards for conventional pollutants are broken down into two types: class and waterbody specific. For the class waters, criteria for various pollutants are designed to protect the beneficial uses of classes of water, from A to D; with class A being the highest quality. The waterbodies belonging to these classes are named in the regulations.

For major waterbodies in Nevada, site-specific numeric standards have been developed. These waterbodies are often referred to as "designated" waters. The standards for designated waters include both criteria designed to protect the beneficial uses and antidegradation requirements. The antidegradation is addressed through the establishment of "requirements to maintain existing higher quality" or RMHQs. RMHQs are set when existing water quality (as evidenced by the monitoring data) for individual parameters is higher than the criteria necessary to protect the beneficial uses. This system of directly linking antidegradation to water quality standards provides a manageable means for implementing antidegradation through permits and other programs.

General Listing Criteria

The criteria for listing were developed to identify only those waterbody segments for which there is adequate documentation that beneficial uses are not being supported and water quality standards are not being met. In evaluating a given waterbody, NDEP considered "all existing and readily available water quality related data and information" such as chemical/physical properties of water column, sediment and fish tissue; biological information; toxicity testing results; narrative and qualitative information.

In general, a waterbody was included on the 2002 and on the 2004 303(d) List when there is adequate documentation that beneficial uses were not being supported and/or beneficial use standards (NAC 445A.119 through 445A.225, including narrative and numeric standards) were not being met during the five-year period 1997 through 2001 or the seven-year period 1997 through 2003 for the 2002 and 2004 Lists, respectively. Also, a waterbody was included on the 303(d) List if:

- A fishing, drinking, or swimming advisory had been in effect for the waterbody during the listing period.
- The waterbody was listed on a prior 303(d) List and insufficient information exists to delist the waterbody.

In developing the final 2002 List, NDEP considered both beneficial use standards (BUs) and RMHQs. Separate lists were initially developed for waterbodies exceeding BUs versus RMHQs for the 2002 List. BUs were evaluated in developing the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Lists; RMHQs were not further evaluated for waterbodies using the additional two year period since the incorporation of additional data was limited. Further, NDEP clarified the use of RMHQ standards in the analysis of impaired waterbodies by updating the Nevada Continuing Planning

Process (CPP) to clearly demonstrate the intended purpose of the RMHQ to be protective of waterbody antidegradation. Waterbodies not meeting RMHQs are identified in a separate table for which TMDLs are not required since they are for planning purposes and provide an indicator of the need for further investigation and monitoring. These waterbodies are being delisted from the 2002 303(d) List since they are not (BU) standards impaired that require TMDL development. The following text was incorporated into the CPP with approved by EPA in July 2004.

Use of RMHQs in 303(d) Listings

RMHQs are established based on ambient water quality data. The quality of these waters may exceed that necessary to fully protect beneficial uses. It is the State's intention that a primary use of RMHOs is to meet the antidegradation requirements of the CWA and Nevada Revised Statutes. As stated in 40 CFR 131.12, where water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation, that quality shall be maintained and protected unless the State finds that allowing lower water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area where the water is located. As stated earlier, the State's antidegradation requirements at NRS 445A.565 state that no discharges of wastes may be made which will result in lowering the quality of higher quality waters unless it has been demonstrated to the Commission that the lower quality is justifiable because of economic or social considerations. However, in allowing such discharges, the State shall assure water quality adequate to fully protect existing uses. Therefore, when RMHQs are found to be exceeded, NDEP will undertake an analysis to determine the potential for fully protecting the beneficial uses. Initially, this analysis will consist of a trend analysis. Results from the trend analysis will be used to determine additional actions. Because RMHQs may be set at levels more stringent than necessary to fully protect all beneficial uses, it is not required that a water be placed on the 303(d) list automatically if the only basis for listing is that an RMHQ is exceeded.

Evaluating Numeric Standards and Data

For most waterbodies, the most comprehensive readily available water quality related data/information were physical and chemical water column monitoring data, and widely distributed scientifically defensible special studies (including chemical and biological information). Other types of data (sediment, fish tissue, narrative information, etc.) are generally not as common for Nevada waterbodies. While NDEP examined all types of readily available data, a majority of the listing decisions were based upon numeric data primarily because these types of data are most common.

In general, a waterbody was included on the 2002 and on the 2004 303(d) List if any of its numeric beneficial use standards were exceeded more than 10 percent¹ of the time during the five-year listing period (January 1, 1997 to December 2001) or the seven-year period (October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2003) for the 2002 and 2004 Lists, respectively. The water year

¹ It must be noted that previous 303(d) lists used an exceedance threshold of 25 percent since NDEP did not have the resources needed to develop TMDLs associated with a list developed using the 10 percent threshold. Also, past lists only used two years worth of data resulting in a majority of the datasets consisting of less than 10 samples. It was felt that it would not be statistically appropriate to apply a 10 percent threshold to such small sample sizes.

While NDEP's resources have not increased significantly, it was felt important to provide a more comprehensive 303(d) List. The 10% threshold was chosen so as to be consistent with Nevada's 305(b) Report to Congress on our water quality with uses the 10% threshold. The existence of both the 303(d) and the 305(b) has led to a lot of confusion throughout the country and efforts are underway to integrate these lists. Therefore, it was important to use similar methodologies.

period basis was used in developing the 2004 303(d) List for better correlation of water flow and sample data comparisons necessary for later TMDL analyses. Also, having the end of the water year as a cut of time, the opportunity to have the best available data sets routinely available (non-provisional and up to date entries in databases which allow of lag time between sample collection, lab analysis and final data entry) for List development is more likely. There are some exceptions to this general rule as discussed in subsequent sections of this report.

Data Sources and Requirements

Data and Information Sources

As required by Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and Section 130.7(B)(5) of CFR, NDEP will compile and consider "all existing and readily available water quality related data and information" in identifying listed waters. Existing and readily available data and information includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Most recent 303(d) List;
- Most recent 305(b) Report;
- Clean Water Act 319 nonpoint source assessments;
- Drinking water source water assessment under Section 1453 of the Safe Drinking Water Act:
- Dilution calculations, trend analyses, or predictive models for determining the physical, chemical or biological integrity of streams, rivers, lakes and estuaries; and
- Data, information, and water quality problems reported from local, State, Territorial, or Federal agencies (especially the USGS National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) and National Stream Quality Accounting Network (NASQAN)), Tribal governments, the public, and academic institutions.

All waters listed on the 2002 303(d) List were also included on the 2004 303(d) List, unless delisting was justified when available data indicates no impairment. Refer to the "Delisting" section for more information.

While NDEP is required to *consider* waterbodies identified in the 305(b) as "not fully supporting", NDEP is not required to include all such waterbodies in the 303(d) List. In fact, the two reports are developed using data for different time periods and using different methodologies. As a result, waterbodies identified as impaired on the 305(b) lists may not meet the 303(d) listing criteria. It must be noted that the 303(d) List and the 305(b) Report are setforth in the Clean Water Act to meet different needs. While the 303(d) List identifies waterbodies in need of additional actions, the 305(b) Report has been intended to serve as a summary report to Congress on states water quality conditions. States and EPA are recognizing the confusion these two reports create for the public and the agencies. Nevada and other states are moving toward an integrated 303(d)/305(b) report in the future. Although differences in the 303(d) and the 305(b) listing methodologies still exist, the most recent 305(b) Report was used as a guide in identifying gaps in the 303(d) analysis and using the 10% exceedance threshold has been applied for both listings to begin developing the consistency advocated by the integrated report process. (See footnote page 3 discussion on past reporting differences.)

The State of Nevada operates a monitoring program which encompasses the States 110,000 square miles, regularly monitoring over 100 sampling points in the 14 hydrographic regions found in the state (Appendix E). In addition to these fixed monitoring stations, several water quality intensive field studies are conducted on the major water systems of Nevada. These studies included Truckee River, Carson River, Walker River and the Humboldt River. In addition a number of lakes and reservoirs have been added to the monitoring program. As part of the monitoring, samples are collected from each major river basin in the state, and then analyzed for physical and chemical quality. In addition to this numeric information, NDEP also collects information pertinent to Nevada's narrative water quality standards.

Additional data was solicited from other entities prior to the completion of the 2002 303(d) List. Also, the public notice and comment period provided the opportunity for additional individuals and groups to present additional monitoring data, ongoing research or other publications for consideration. However, it is important that the decision to list a water body be based upon credible evidence. The 2004 303(d) List was developed using the 2002 303(d) List as its core. Where available, an additional two years of data acquisition for further analysis of waters have been incorporated into the identification of impaired waters. All sample data available in the NDEP database, including samples provided by other outside agencies (refer to reports to identify contributing agencies) was used for the seven-year period of the 2004 303(d) List analysis of BU standards exceeded. When applicable, modified standards or application of revised analysis procedures approved by EPA are utilized in the 2004 List development.

It is relatively straightforward to define methods for evaluating numeric data for numeric standard compliance. However, it is much more challenging to define how other types of data and information will be used in the listing process. Other types of data and information that are available include:

- Fish tissue data
- Contaminated sediment data
- Toxicity testing data
- Bioassessment data and information
- Qualitative information or other studies

In general, NDEP examined these types of available information in order to identify evidence that any of the beneficial uses were impaired during the period 1997-2003. The data sources and decisions supporting each listing decision are documented in the appendices. Appendix F provides a summary of the major data compiled by NDEP and submitted to NDEP for possible use in the listing analyses.

Minimum Data Requirements and Listing

With a few exceptions, most of the listings in the 2002 and in the 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List were based upon data meeting the following minimum requirements:

- For the waterbodies in question, at least 10 water quality sample analyses were available for the five-year period January 1, 1997 and December 31, 2001 or the seven-year period October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2003 for the 2002 and 2004 Lists, respectively.
- There were a sufficient number of samples to represent conditions in the waterbody reach during the five-year or seven-year period. Best professional judgment was utilized to make this determination. Basically, the available samples were considered representative if collected during a variety of flow regimes and seasons throughout the five-year listing period and not biased toward extreme or unusual conditions. As discussed in the "Accounting for Extreme Events" section, data associated with samples collected during extreme high or low flows were not considered in the listing analysis.
- There was adequate documentation on data development and sampling location.

Waterbodies were included on the 2002 303(d) List if any of its numeric beneficial use standards were exceeded more than 10 percent of the time during the five-year listing period (January 1, 1997 to December 2001). Likewise, a waterbody was included on the 2004 303(d) List when the same evaluation criteria was applied over the seven-year data period (October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2003). The decision to set a minimum number of samples for consideration was driven by our need to provide a clear definition of the criteria with results that are reproducible by others to the extent possible, and to provide a level of statistical reliability to our decisions.

In general, the goal for the 303(d) List was to identify those waters that are exceeding water quality standards over 10% of the time. However, the true exceedance percentage for most waterbodies and water quality criteria is unknown due to the limited data resulting from monthly or less frequent sampling. The State of Florida² has investigated the issue of minimum sample size for listing decisions from a statistical perspective. One basic conclusion was that greater sample sizes result in more reliable estimates of the true standards exceedances in a waterbody. The investigators recommended that a minimum of 10 samples be required for assessing impairment. NDEP deemed this to be an appropriate minimum threshold for data used in the listing decisions. The 10 sample minimum threshold does not automatically conclude that the sample set is representative of the waterbody at all times and additional data acquisition of a particular pollutant impairment could trigger a delisting based on subsequent analysis of the larger data sample set.

It must be noted that a few waterbodies were listed with sample sizes less than 10. For those waterbodies, other information such as severity and frequency of the exceedances warranted listing. A number of waterbodies had 8 to 9 samples but had numerous exceedances (4 or more). This was deemed to be a good indication that the water quality standards were consistently

² "A Nonparametric Procedure for Listing and Delisting Impaired Waters Based on Criterion Exceedances", Pi-Erh Lin, Duane Meeter, Xu-Feng Niu, Department of Statistics, Florida State University, Technical Report Submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, October 2000.

exceeded and these waterbodies were listed. The data sources and decisions supporting each listing decision are documented in the appendices.

NDEP thought it important to identify those waterbodies with minimal water samples but had the potential for water quality problems. With this in mind, a "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation" was included. In general, a waterbody were included on this list if there was not sufficient evidence to place the waterbody on the 303(d) List, but there was evidence from available data and information that a problem may exist. This list is intended to serve as a planning tool for future NDEP assessment activities. TMDLs are NOT required for these waterbodies.

As stated earlier, there were a few exceptions to the above 303(d) listing criteria. A few waterbodies, which did not meet the above listing criteria, were placed on the 2002 303(d) List and are retained on the 2004 303(d) List because:

- A fishing, drinking, or swimming advisory had been in effect for the waterbody during the listing period indicating an impairment of a beneficial use for over 10% of the 5-year listing period or the seven-year listing period for the 2002 or 2004 Lists respectively.
- The waterbody was listed on a prior 303(d) List and insufficient information exists to delist the waterbody.
- Other information existed indicating impairment of beneficial use(s).

The data and information used in placing a waterbody on the List are documented in the appendices.

Detection Limits

Frequently, toxics concentrations in Nevada rivers are less than the detection limit³ of the applicable laboratory procedure. According to Footnote (3) in NAC 445A.144, if the water quality standard:

"...is less than the detection limit of a method that is acceptable to the division, laboratory results which show that the substance was not detected [below detection limit] will be deemed to show compliance with the standard unless other information indicates that the substance may be present."

Therefore for purposes of developing the 303(d) List, samples with toxic concentrations reported "as less than the detection limit" were assumed to comply with the water quality standards, but only if:

- the certified laboratory method is acceptable to NDEP; and
- no other information indicates that the substance in question exists in levels detrimental to the beneficial uses.

EPA APPROVED FINAL: Nevada's 2004 303(d) List November 2005

³ Detection limit is the minimum concentration of a constituent that can be detected using a particular laboratory procedure.

Toxics

NAC 445A.144 defines water quality standards for various toxic materials that are applicable to the water specified in NAC 445A.119 through 445A.225. For some of these constituents, the standards set 1-hour average (acute) and 96-hour average (chronic) maximum acceptable concentrations, with the 96-hour criteria being the most restrictive. Based upon EPA criteria recommendations, NAC 445A.144 states that "one-hour average and 96-hour average concentration limits may be exceeded only once every 3 years." For the 2002 and the 2004 303(d) List, waters were listed as "impaired" if:

- 10 samples were available; and
- 2 or more exceedances of the 1-hour criteria occurred during any 3 year period with the listing cycle (1997-2001).

It must be noted that most of the data analyzed for this report were derived from monthly (or less frequent) grab samples and that grab samples may not be representative of conditions over a 4 day period depending upon the waterbody and constituent. For that reason, waterbodies exceeding the 96-hour criteria (with 10 samples, 2 or more exceedances during any 3 year period) but not the 1-hour criteria were placed on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation", unless 303(d) listing was warranted based upon other information such as biological data indicating impairment, or severity of exceedances.

It must be noted that a few waterbodies were listed with sample sizes less than 10. For those waterbodies, other information such as severity, frequency and magnitude of the exceedances, and sediment, fish tissue, biological conditions warranted listing. The data sources and decisions supporting each listing decision are documented in the appendices.

Accounting for Extreme Events

Drought and flood period are a part of the natural process, and data that shows impairment as a result of a major drought or flood event should not serve as the listing basis. Nevada Administrative Code 445A.121(8) states, "The specified standards are not considered violated when the natural conditions of the receiving water are outside the established limits, including periods of extreme high or low flow" Therefore, water chemistry data associated with samples collected during extreme high and low flows were not considered in the listing analysis.

Field and Laboratory Data

In the case of pH, many of the available datasets include both field and laboratory values. Since pH can change over time before the sample arrives at the laboratory, the field pH is felt to be the more accurate measure. Therefore, field pH was the primary value evaluated for standards compliance. However, laboratory pH was utilized in some instances where field pH was not available.

 $^{^4}$ 7Q10_{high} and 7Q10_{low} values as developed by USGS were used to establish the extreme flow conditions. The 7Q10 flows were developed from historic streamflow data and are defined as a predicted high or low flow for a consecutive seven day period with an expected recurrence interval of ten years.

Biological Assessments

Starting in 2000, NDEP has been performing biological assessments on the major waterbodies in Nevada. Data and information are being collected concerning macroinvertebrate abundance and diversity, and physical habitat conditions. As this program is in its infancy, none of NDEP's biological assessment or bioassay information were used in the 303(d) listing analysis. Laboratory identification and quantification of macroinvertebrate samples have yet to be received by NDEP. Reference sites and biological assessment protocols will be developed as NDEP collects additional data.

Some macroinvertebrate data were submitted to NDEP for consideration, but without any evaluation protocols, reference conditions and criteria specific to Nevada, BWQP was not able to incorporate these data into our listing decisions. As the biological assessment program develops, BWQP will be better suited to evaluate biological data for determinations of beneficial use support.

Continuous Monitoring Data

Past 303(d) Lists have been developed based primarily upon grab sample data, which represent quality conditions for a specific point in time. Data collected on a more continuous basis, e.g. hourly or other frequencies, needs to be considered during the 303(d) List development. In recent years, NDEP and other groups have undertaken continuous monitoring of some parameters (such as dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH and specific conductance) for selected waterbodies. In most cases, the available continuous monitoring data did not have a complete record set for the five-year listing period (January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2001) nor did the record set for the seven-year listing period (October 1, 1997 to September 30, 2003). These data were evaluated as follows for inclusion on the List:

- Each day of available data was examined to determine the number of violations. If the standards were violated for any length of time for a given day, it was considered as one violation.
- A reach was listed if standard violations occurred for more than 10% of the 1,826 days in the five-year period or of the 2,556 days in the seven-year period.

Additional Considerations during the Listing Assessments

Standards, Control Points and the Tributary Rule

For the major waterbodies, NAC sets water quality standards for specific control points (see NAC 445A.145). On a given stream, the standards apply to that control point and for the remainder of the river upstream, all surface waters upstream (in Nevada) or to the next control point upstream, if any. If there are no control points downstream from a particular control point, the standards for that control point apply for the remainder of the stream downstream, all surface waters downstream (in Nevada) or to the next waterbody downstream named in NAC. As a result, NAC has effectively divided many of the streams into reaches with varying standards.

As stated earlier, NDEP operates an extensive water quality monitoring network throughout Nevada. In many cases, the associated sampling locations are at control points. Data collected at these control points are evaluated as part of the listing process. If the standards are violated (in accordance to the criteria described herein) at the control point, the entire reach associated with that control point was listed unless there is available information to divide the reach into subreaches. In fact, there are some instances where two or more monitoring stations are located on a reach. These data were examined to determine whether or not to list the entire reach or only subreaches.

NAC 445A.145 is commonly referred to as the "tributary rule." In general, the tributary rule provides additional water quality criteria for those surface waters (in Nevada only) that are not defined as a class water (NAC 445A.123 through 127) nor as a designated water (NAC 445A.146 through 225). For those waters that are unclassified and undesignated, the water quality criteria for the nearest control point or classified water (upstream or downstream) may be applied to these water bodies in the listing analysis under certain conditions. According to NDEP's Continuing Planning Process document, the tributary rule is to be applied to an unclassified and undesignated water in the listing analysis if:

- there was a hydrologic connection during the listing period not just in response to storm events; and
- the hydrologic connection was for a long enough period such that a commingling of water and an exchange of beneficial uses, in particular aquatic life, was possible.

For purposes of the 2002 and the 2004 303(d) Lists, the tributary rule was applied to a given waterbody if USGS topographical maps showed a connection between the waterbody in question and a designated or class water. Tributary application decisions are denoted in the appendices.

Designated and Class Waters

The water quality of both the designated and the class waters are evaluated for potential inclusion on the 2004 303(d) List in the same manner of the 2002 303(d) List. In general, only designated waters were included on past 303(d) Lists [pre 2002].

Single Value and Annual Average/Median Standards

For some reaches, the water quality standard for a parameter is defined in terms of a maximum annual average or annual median concentrations. The reach was included on the 2004 303(d) List if the annual average or median values exceeded the beneficial use standard at least once during the seven-year listing period.

Some reaches have both single value standards and annual average standards for certain parameters. If either the single value standard were exceeded more than 10% of the time (assuming a minimum of ten samples) or the annual average standard was exceeded at least once, the reach was listed for that particular parameter.

Antidegradation Considerations

Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 445A.565 contain the State's antidegradation requirements. NRS 445A.565 states:

"Any surface waters of the state whose quality is higher than the applicable standards of water quality as of the date when those standards became effective must be maintained in their higher quality. No discharges of waste may be made which will result in lowering the quality of these waters unless it has been demonstrated to the commission that the lower quality is justifiable because of economic or social considerations. This subsection does not apply to normal agricultural rotation, improvement or farming practices"

NRS 445A.565 is implemented through the establishment of requirements to maintain existing higher quality (RMHQs). An RMHQ is established when the monitoring data show that existing water quality for individual parameters is significantly better than the standard necessary to protect the beneficial uses. If adequate monitoring data exist, RMHQs are established at levels which reflect existing conditions. This system of directly linking antidegradation to numeric objectives provides a manageable means for implementing antidegradation through permits and other programs. In general, past Nevada 303(d) Lists have been developed based upon violations of the beneficial use standards and not the RMHQs. However in the case of the Truckee River, TDS was placed on the 1992 303(d) List due to violations of the TDS RMHO. Based on the 2002 303(d) listing period analysis, waterbodies violating RMHQs (in general, more than 10% of the time for sample sizes of 10 or greater) are placed in a separate table entitled "Waterbodies not meeting RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Water Quality)." TMDLs are NOT required for these waterbodies and are therefore being delisted from the 2002 303(d) List in this 2004 303(d) List report. Also, refer to the Listing Criteria discussion on page 3 of this report on the use of RMHQs in 303(d) listing defined by NDEP's updated CPP approved by EPA in July 2004.

Tribal Water Quality Standards

Tribes have independent authority for setting water quality standards and implementing regulations for waters on reservation land under the 1987 Amendments to the Clean Water Act (CWA). At this time, the State of Nevada regulations include water quality standards for waterbodies on tribal lands throughout Nevada. However the State of Nevada has no authority to set standards on tribal lands, therefore the 2004 303(d) List does not include any impaired waterbodies that may exist on tribal lands.

Natural Condition-Based Water Quality Standards

There are several instances in the regulations where the water quality criteria are defined as a certain level above or below the "natural conditions⁵" (Table 1). Application of these standards to the 303(d) listing process is difficult due to problems in quantifying natural conditions. In

⁵ "Natural conditions" are considered to be the water quality characteristics that would exist in a waterbody without the impacts of modern human development. The Nevada Administrative Code does not define "natural conditions", but does provide the following definition of "natural waters" – "...waters which have not been degraded or enhanced by actions attributable to man."

order to quantify natural conditions, data representing pre-human development conditions are needed. However, most of the available water quality data are based upon samples collected after upstream human impacts have occurred.

Violations of the natural condition-based standards were not evaluated for impairment status on the 2004 303(d) List, except for fecal coliform and TDS as follows:

<u>Fecal coliform:</u> Criteria 1 and 3 in Table 1 are not natural condition-based standards and will be used in the listing analysis.

<u>TDS:</u> The natural conditions portion of the standard will not be used, however the maximum TDS level of 500 mg/l in Table 1 will be used in the listing analysis.

Table 1. Summary of Natural Condition-Based Water Quality Standards

Parameter	Applicable Water Class	Standard
Alkalinity	various designated waters	"less than 25% change from <i>natural conditions</i> □
Color	various designated waters	"Increase in color must not be more than 10 PCU above <i>natural conditions</i> .□
Fecal coliform	Class C only	The more stringent of the following apply: "1. The fecal coliform concentration must not exceed a geometric mean of 1000 per 100 milliliters nor may more than 20 percent of total samples exceed 2400 per 100 milliters." "2. The annual geometric mean of fecal coliform concentration must not exceed that characteristic of <i>natural conditions</i> by more than 200 per 100 milliliter nor may the number of fecal coliform in a
		single sample exceed that characteristic of <i>natural conditions</i> by more than 400 per 100 milliliter." (italics added) "3. The fecal coliform concentration, based on a minimum of 5 samples during any 30-day period, must not exceed a geometric mean of 200 per 100 milliliters, nor may more than 10 percent of total samples during any 30-day period exceed 400 per 100 milliliters. This is applicable only to those waters used for primary contact recreation."
Total	Class A, B and C	"must not exceed 500 mg/l or one-third above that characteristic of
Dissolved Solids	waters	<i>natural conditions</i> (whichever is less).□
Turbidity	various designated	"Increase in turbidity must not be more than 10 NTU above <i>natural</i>
	waters	conditions. □

NDEP is in the process of revising these natural condition-based standards to numeric criteria that are measurable and defensible.

Natural Background Considerations

In instances where a water quality standard is exceeded due solely to naturally occurring conditions, the exceedance is not considered a violation of the water quality standard. Refer to the following NAC references:

NAC 445A.120(2) states:

"...Natural water conditions may, on occasion, be outside the limits established by standards. The standards adopted in NAC 445A.120 to 445A.213, inclusive, relate to the condition of waters as affected by discharges relating to the activities of man."

NAC 445A.121(8) states:

"The specified standards are not considered violated when the natural conditions of the receiving water are outside the established limits, including periods of extreme high or low flow..."

In determining whether or not a waterbody is impaired due solely to natural causes, NDEP examined available information and applied best professional judgment. The type of information needed for a waterbody to be considered as naturally impaired include (but not limited to):

- Human activities (e.g. urbanization, grazing, mining) within the affected waterbody shown not to be significant source of pollutant in question.
- The pollutant in question is known to occur naturally in the form found in the reach.
- A probable natural source (i.e. hot springs, mineralized outcropping) is located within the watershed.

During the development of the 2004 List, no waterbodies were found at this time to qualify as "impaired by natural causes." Additional studies are needed for some waterbodies to determine whether or not impairments are due to natural causes.

Narrative Standards

Narrative standards appear in two locations in the regulations:

NAC 445A.121 contains narrative criteria that are applicable to all surface waters of the state and consist mostly of statements requiring waters to be "free from" various pollutants in sufficient levels so as to not: 1) be unsightly; 2) interfere with any beneficial uses; 3) create a public nuisance; 4) be toxic to human, animal, plan or aquatic life; etc.

NAC 445A.203 – 445A.208 (Humboldt River) includes criteria which states that color is to not have "adverse effects" on the beneficial use (with municipal and domestic supply being the most restrictive use).

One example of available qualitative information includes information collected by NDEP. When grab samples are collected as part of NDEP's monitoring network operations, staff also notes whether or not the water contains substances attributable to domestic or industrial waste or other controllable sources including:

- Settleable solids that form bottom or sludge deposits;
- Floating debris;
- Oil, grease, scum and other floating materials;
- Odor; and
- Color, turbidity or other conditions.

These qualitative observations did not lead to any new listings but were used as a check on some listings that were based upon water column chemistry.

Some data submitted to NDEP for consideration were for waterbodies that have no specific numeric criteria and are not tributary to waterbodies with criteria. In these instances, only NAC 445A.121 provides narrative criteria. For these waterbodies, there were insufficient data to list as impaired. However, some of these waterbodies were included on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation".

Special Considerations for Lakes

NDEP collects samples at a number of lakes throughout Nevada, however in some instances the sampling points are limited to one point that is easily accessible to the monitoring crew. The same may be true for other entities and their sampling programs. Depending upon the parameter in question, the resulting water quality data may or may not be representative of conditions in the lake. For instance, the samples may have been collected near shore at high use areas with water quality representative of only a limited portion of the lake. Other samples collected further out in the lake may indicate different water quality conditions. Lakes were included on the 2002 303(d) List if the data were deemed (based upon our experience with lakes and best professional judgment) to be representative of mid-lake conditions and sufficient standards exceedances were identified. Otherwise, waterbodies were placed on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation". Future monitoring is needed for these waterbodies to determine actual mid-lake conditions and relations with near shore conditions.

Delisting

As a general rule of thumb, it should take similar data to delist as to list. In other words, if the procedures described above are found to indicate a waterbody is not impaired, the waterbody will be delisted. Other reasons to delist include:

- The standard is no longer exceeded because of a change in the surface water quality standards.
- Reevaluation of rules or criteria applied to a waterbody based on NDEP field investigation.

- Revised analysis procedures approved by EPA initiate delisting of previously listed waterbodies that were based on formerly accepted analysis methods or procedures.
- Faulty data or information, or errors in the analysis resulted in a listing error.

The above list is not intended to be inclusive of the only criteria considered for de-listing. NDEP reserves the right to use data or information that goes beyond the above criteria, and can include other types of information and best professional judgment. The lack of data was never justification for delisting a waterbody. For the 2004 303(d) List, waterbodies were delisted for the following reasons:

- the available 10 or more samples indicated exceedances at less than 10 percent;
- the waterbody was erroneously included on the 2002 303(d) List;
- exceedance of a RMHQ standard is used by NDEP as a planning tool; and
- the waterbody has been field verified for connectivity in applying the "tributary" rule.

TMDL Prioritization Schedule

40 CFR Part 130 requires that TMDLs be developed for those waterbodies on the 303(d) List, and that the 303(d) List contain a prioritized schedule for establishing TMDLs for these waters. Prioritizing water bodies enables the state to make efficient use of available resources to meet the objectives of the Clean Water Act. Priority ranking takes into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters.

Targeting high priority waters for TMDL development reflects an evaluation of the relative value and benefit of water bodies within the state. The priority ranking was developed taking into consideration the following (not in order of priority):

- Risk to human and aquatic life
- Degree of public interest and support
- Recreational, economic, and aesthetic importance of a particular waterbody
- Vulnerability or fragility of a particular waterbody as an aquatic habitat
- Immediate programmatic needs such as:
 - waste load allocations
 - o permits to be issued
 - o new or expanding discharges
 - o load allocations for needed Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- Severity of the impairment and the designated water uses
- Data availability
- Potential changes to water quality standards
- Appropriateness of standard
- TMDL complexity
- Staffing and other resources

The 2004 303(d) List (Appendix A) presents the TMDL development priorities for the various listed waterbodies as determined by the Bureau of Water Quality Planning based upon existing resources. In general, the following schedule applies for the different priority levels:

(1) High priority: 0 to 2 years
(2) Medium priority: 2 to 5 years
(3) Low priority: beyond 5 years

NDEP did not go through any formal priority ranking process to develop the TMDL priorities. With our limited resources, it was clear that NDEP could only complete one to two TMDLs per year. Keeping this in mind along with our knowledge of the watersheds and other ongoing assessment efforts, staff used its judgment in prioritizing TMDLs into these three categories.

Summary of Methodology and Findings

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that States develop a list of waterbodies needing additional work beyond existing controls to achieve or maintain water quality standards. This list, referred to as the Section 303(d) List, provides a comprehensive inventory of water bodies impaired by all sources, including point sources, nonpoint sources, or a combination of both. The 303(d) List is the basis for targeting water bodies for watershed-based solutions, and the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) process provides an organized framework to develop these solutions.

Subpart C of 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) Part 130 requires that states develop descriptions of the criteria and process used in generating their 303(d) lists. This report summarizes the basic methodology NDEP used in developing the 2004 303(d) List. The 2004 303(d) List is included in Appendix A. In addition to impaired waters, this report also identified waterbodies in need of additional review:

- List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs: Represents violations of Requirements to Maintain Higher Water Quality, TMDLs are not required (Appendix B). Additional investigations are needed to determine whether or not water quality is worsening. Available resources limit NDEP's ability to investigate these waterbodies. While these waterbodies were included in the final 2002 303(d) List as impaired and would require a TMDL, they are being delisted in this 2004 303(d) listing in recognition of applying the updated NDEP CPP approved by EPA in July 2004. Refer to the Listing Criteria discussion on page 3 of this report on the use of RMHQs for 303(d) purposes.
- List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigations: Represents waterbodies with possible water quality problems, TMDLs are not required. (Appendix C). Additional investigations are needed to determine whether or not standards are being exceeded and the uses are being impaired. Available resources limit NDEP's ability to investigate these waterbodies.
- **Delisted Waters:** Waterbodies that were on the 2002 303(d) List but no longer qualify for inclusion as impaired on the 2004 303(d) List (Appendix D).

As stated above, the 303(d) Impaired Waters List begins to define those waterbodies in need of TMDLs as part of the solutions for a given waterbody. The next 2 tables included in this report (Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs, and Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation) identify waterbodies in need of additional review which could include additional monitoring,

standards review and revision, or inclusion on future 303(d) List. Appendix D includes waters removed from the 303(d) List.

There are approximately 14,988 miles of perennial rivers and streams, 126,257 miles of intermittent/ephemeral streams and channels, 1,782 miles of ditches/canals and 551 border miles of shared rivers. Nevada has approximately 1,070 lakes, reservoirs or ponds with a approximate total acreage of 533,239 (these river and lake sizes are according to EPA's "Total Waters Report") and approximately 136,650 acres of wetlands. The 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List identifies approximately 1,474 river miles as impaired, a decrease from the final 2002 303(d) List. The most common causes of impairment for all listed streams is nutrient, metals, sediment, temperature, totals dissolved solids, pH and other parameters (Table 2). Impaired lake and reservoir acreages are unchanged from the 2002 303(d) List of 76,928 acres. Impaired wetland acreages have remained essentially constant at 19,511 acres. The number of listed river miles and acreages have decreased from the final 2002 303(d) List due to changes in the application of revised listing methodology (CPP process for Antidegradation).

Table 2. Summary of Impaired Waterbodies and Associated Parameters

Parameter	Impaired Rivers, miles	Impaired Lakes/Reservoirs, acres	Impaired Wetlands, acres
TOTAL	1,474	76,928	19,511
Nutrients	1,070	2,830	185
Metals	1,066	0	19,326
Sediment	672	0	0
Temperature	535	0	0
Total Dissolved Solids	251	35,500	185
рН	41	4,616	185
Other	19	36,812	0

Current Status of TMDL Development

The major streams in Nevada have had TMDLs established for several years, which has perhaps protected the State from TMDL litigation for the most part. However, only the Truckee River and Las Vegas Wash/Lake Mead TMDLs are based upon significant scientific analyses and modeling efforts funded by wastewater effluent dischargers in the basin. For some other streams, "bare bones" TMDLs are common. These have been dubbed as "bare bones" TMDLs due to the simplicity of the calculation (and their lack of usefulness):

"bare bones" TMDL, lbs/day = (Average Daily Flow, cfs) x (Water Quality Criteria, mg/l) x (Conversion Factor)

where:

lbs/day = pounds per day cfs = cubic feet per second mg/l = milligrams per liter While these TMDLs seem to satisfy the requirements of the Clean Water Act, they have contributed little to any watershed/waterbody restoration plans. These types of TMDLs lead to no understanding of the cause of impairment and the location, quantity and timing of loads to the waterbody. Without adequate characterizations of the problems, appropriate solutions cannot be identified and implemented. Needless to say these TMDLs have to be updated, however the detailed information to adequately define the problems is not yet available.

It must be recognized that there are significant constraints to the future development of comprehensive TMDLs which adequately define the problems and lead to effective implementation plans. As discussed in the "Statewide Observations" section, factors such as limited data, and inappropriateness of some standards are impediments to more effective TMDLs. For this reason, a majority of Nevada's future TMDLs will be "phased", whereby the available data are used to the extent possible recognizing that revisions will be made as additional information and data become available.

Established TMDLs

Table 3 summarizes the TMDLs that have been established by NDEP and approved by EPA. The following discussion provides information on the status of these TMDLs and any efforts to modify.

Table 3. Summary of Established TMDLs

Basin	Parameters	Reference
Carson River	BOD, nitrate,	208 Plan for the Carson River Basin (NDEP,
	orthophosphates, TDS	1982)
Humboldt River	TDS, TP, TSS	208 Plan for Non-Designated Areas (NDEP, 1993)
Las Vegas	TP, total ammonia	Rationale and Calculations for TMDLs and WLAs
Wash/Bay		for Las Vegas Bay (NDEP, 1988)
Truckee River	TDS, TN, TP	Truckee River Final TMDLs and WLAs (NDEP,
		1994)
Walker River	TSS	208 Plan for Non-Designated Areas (NDEP, 1993)

BOD = biochemical oxygen demand

TDS = total dissolved solids

TN = total nitrogen

TP = total phosphorus

TSS = total suspended solids

Carson River: Water Quality Management (208) Plan for the Carson River Basin, Nevada (1982) contains maximum allowable daily loads for dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, orthophosphates, nitrates and total dissolved solids, which were developed utilizing a detailed water quality modeling study. However, this TMDL is confusing, and needs to be updated to reflect current water quality standards and conditions on the river. NDEP is in the process of updating the Carson River TMDL. It is anticipated that some updates will be developed by 2003.

Humboldt River: The existing TMDLs for total suspended solids (TSS) and total phosphorus (TP) are included in Nevada's Nondesignated Areas 208 Plan (NDEP 1993). However, the existing TMDLs oversimplify a complex situation and do little to characterize sources to the level needed for a meaningful implementation plan. Additional work is needed to better identify sources in terms of their contributions and locations.

The water quality standards for the Humboldt River were revised in November 1995. As a result of revisions to the water quality standards for TP and TSS, the existing TMDLs need to be reevaluated. NDEP plans to revised the current TMDL in the future, however, it must be noted that significant additional assessments are needed before a more meaningful TMDL can be realized. The existing TMDL does not define any wasteload allocations for point source discharges:

"Section 303(d)(1)(C) requires that TMDLs shall be established at a level necessary to implement the applicable water quality standards. Any discharge which improves the existing water quality, and has permitted discharge limits as strict or stricter than the water quality standards will be considered in compliance with the TMDLs."

Las Vegas Bay/Wash: In 1987, NDEP established total phosphorus and total ammonia WLAs in the Las Vegas Wash at Northshore Road as needed to meet the Las Vegas Bay water quality standards. The WLAs set are applicable for only April through September and were based upon target concentrations (0.64 mg/l – total phosphorus, 1.43 mg/l total ammonia) developed by French (Concentration Estimates at Northshore Road to Meet Water Quality Standards in Las Vegas Bay, 1988), and average streamflows. In 1994, Dr. French (Concentration Estimates at Northshore Road to Meet Water Quality Standards in Las Vegas Bay, May 1994), re-examined these target concentrations. Of particular interest was the possible impact of increasing the un-ionized ammonia standard for the Las Vegas Bay would have on the target concentrations and ultimately the TMDL/WLAs and permit limits. The study suggested that the target concentrations could be lowered considerably (0.32 mg/l – total phosphorus, 0.57 mg/l – total ammonia), representing a significant change in the TMDL. However the study also made it clear that additional work is needed to understand the dynamics of the Wash and Bay. Following completion of the 1994 study, NDEP decided that a revision of the TMDL/WLAs was not appropriate because of the uncertainties revealed by the study.

NDEP is in the process of reviewing the existing TMDL/WLAs to assess compliance and to determine if revisions are required. In 2002, UNLV completed a study entitled "Microbiological and Limnological Evaluations in the Las Vegas Wash/Bay System" to address some of the issues raised by the 1994 French report. NDEP's review will include an examination of the findings of the UNLV report. Another component of the TMDL review will include an evaluation of changes in flow conditions. During the years since the TMDL was developed, the average annual streamflow in the Las Vegas Wash has increased significantly while loading during the TMDL season (April through September) has not increased as required by the TMDL.

Truckee River: NDEP established TMDLs for TN, TP and TDS for the Truckee River in 1994. These TMDLs have been incorporated into the NPDES permit for the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF). During the mid-1990s, TMWRF was not able to consistently meet the waste load allocation (WLA) for total nitrogen due to a snail infestation of the nitrification towers. When the snails consume the bacterial populations down to low levels, the ammonia conversion to nitrates is severely diminished and nitrogen concentrations in the final effluent increases. Subsequent improvements have eliminated the problem and the plant has been able to meet its WLA requirements.

TMWRF is currently studying options for updating the TMDL. One possible revision could involve modifying the TN WLA to account for only the bioavailable portion of TN. The current TMDL assumes that all of the nitrogen in the TMWRF effluent is readily available for biological uptake. The goal of the study is to determine the degree to which the DON (dissolved organic nitrogen) in the TMWRF effluent is bioavailable. TMWRF is also studying the feasibility of reworking the TMDL/WLA so that higher winter TN loads would be acceptable during the winter months when less algal activity generally occurs.

With the study efforts and information development currently underway for the Truckee, NDEP is elevating the TMDL priority status from level 3 to 2. The nearer timeframe will allow NDEP to synchronize its workload to the TMWRF advance work efforts.

Walker River: The existing TMDLs for total suspended solids (TSS) are included in Nevada's Nondesignated Areas 208 Plan (NDEP 1993). As with the Humboldt TMDLs, the existing Walker River TMDLs oversimplify a complex situation and do little to characterize sources to the level needed for a meaningful implementation plan. Additional work is needed to better identify sources in terms of their contributions and locations, and to better characterize beneficial use impairment (particularly aquatic life).

Other TMDL Activities

Walker Lake: NDEP will be finalizing the Walker Lake TMDL for TDS in 2005.

East Fork Owyhee River: NDEP will be finalizing the East Fork Owyhee River TMDL for total phosphorus, total suspended solids, and iron in 2005. The TMDL public comment period was extended in 2004 based on requests from interested parties.

Lake Tahoe: NDEP is working inconjunction with the State of California (Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board) for the development of a Lake Tahoe TMDL to address clarity concerns caused by nutrient loading and fine sediments. It is anticipated that a technical TMDL will be completed in 2005, with subsequent implementation plan development by 2007.

Muddy River: NDEP will be finalizing the Virgin River TMDL in 2005-2006.

Statewide Observations

Data Limitations

BWQP operates an ambient monitoring network of about 100 water quality sites on streams, lakes, reservoirs and wetlands throughout the state. For years this network has been operated for the main purpose of developing water quality standards and evaluating water quality standards compliance. With the need for TMDLs, BWQP needs to evaluate the monitoring program and gear it towards TMDL development. For example, the seasonal nature of the water quality throughout Nevada needs to be better understood through more intensive monitoring in some areas. With some waterbodies, additional data are needed to properly characterize diurnal dissolved oxygen (DO) and temperature levels. Most of the DO and temperature data that exist in Nevada are associated with instantaneous readings taken in conjunction with grab samples.

BWQP is realizing that it can no longer rely solely on water column chemistry data alone to assess stream health and develop plans for assuring that beneficial uses are supported. Starting in 2000, BWQP began performing biological assessments on the major waterbodies in Nevada. Data and information are being collected concerning macroinvertebrate abundance and diversity, and physical habitat conditions. However as this program is in its infancy, none of this information is yet useful for assessments and TMDL development.

In addition to the water chemistry and biological information currently being collected, other types of information are needed which describe channel and streambed conditions, riparian vegetation conditions, fisheries conditions, and periphyton (attached algae) occurrences. These data will lead to a better understanding of the ways in which the waterbodies are impaired and will lead to more meaningful TMDLs.

Very little data exists to assist the State in properly characterizing sources of pollutants. Without a complete understanding of the location, quantity and timing of nonpoint source load, it may not be possible to develop TMDLs and implementation plans that are effective. For example, there are a number of streams that are listed as impaired for sediment, however it is not known if the source is watershed or streambank erosion.

Water Quality Standards

As required by the Clean Water Act, Nevada has set beneficial uses and water quality criteria for waterbodies throughout the state. While some waters have been listed based upon other evidence of use impairment, most of the waterbodies on the 303(d) List have been identified as impaired due to exceedances of these numeric criteria. Obviously water quality standards represent a significant input for the TMDL process. In many cases, these standards serve as the water quality target or goal for the TMDLs. However, some of these targets have shortcomings.

A relatively large number of waterbodies have been identified as impaired for total phosphorus (TP) throughout the state on both past and present 303(d) Lists. For many reaches, TP is the main or only parameter causing the waterbody to be listed as impaired. The standard of 0.1 mg/l

(single value or annual average) applies across much of the state. This standard is based on recommendations made in EPA's "Quality Criteria for Water 1986" or commonly referred to as the Gold Book. These recommendations are not strongly supported in the Gold Book and are not identified as criteria, but rather as a "desired goal for the prevention of plant nuisances". Given the native soil conditions in the Great Basin and the topography that exists over much of Nevada, the suitability of the TP water quality standard must be questioned. It is clear that additional research is needed on the role of TP in eutrophication. Without more detailed dissolved oxygen (DO) monitoring, it is unknown if the current phosphorus loads are even causing any problems. In fact, research has shown that nitrogen rather than phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for some of our rivers.

Before a large amount of resources are devoted to developing TMDLs and nutrient control strategies, it is advisable to evaluate the suitability of the existing water quality standards. Nevada is working with California, Arizona, Hawaii and EPA (Region 9) on the development of appropriate regional nutrient criteria.

Another problem relates to the nitrogen standards set for various waterbodies in the state. In most cases, the nitrate standards are based upon drinking water standards rather than eutrophication control needs. As a result, current nitrate standards are likely higher than needed for controlling algae growth.

Other standards that need to be reviewed include the DO and temperature criteria. Both of these parameters have numeric limits set but with no mention of duration (7-day mean, 7-day mean minimum, etc.). With dissolved oxygen and temperature levels fluctuating throughout the day, more robust standards are needed to properly define criteria required for beneficial use support. As stated above, additional data are needed to properly characterize diurnal DO and temperature levels for waters throughout the State. Any revision to the DO and temperature standards would be of little utility without efforts to collect more detailed DO and temperature data.

A large number of smaller streams are categorized as Class Waters and as such have been grouped into four classes, each having its own set of beneficial uses and water quality criteria. The Class Water criteria have not been reviewed since the 1970s and there are many questions about their suitability for many of the waters. Extensive work is still needed to review these standards and determine the appropriate criteria for each water in the class regulations.

pН

The pH standards for a number of waterbodies are outdated and in need of revision. In EPA's most recent criteria guidance (Gold Book: Quality Criteria for Water, 1986), a pH range of 6.5 to 9.0 is recommended for the protection of aquatic life. NDEP is in the process of updating the pH standards, as needed, in the Nevada Administrative Code. Unless the regulations indicated otherwise, a pH range of 6.5 to 9.0 was used in the developing the 2004 303(d) List.

Naturally Occurring Pollutants

A variety of parameters appear on Nevada's 2004 303(d) List that may be naturally occurring. For example, given the native soil conditions in the Great Basin, it is possible that a significant

portion of the phosphorus, arsenic, selenium and iron loads in Nevada's streams are due to natural conditions. Some may argue that higher sediment levels are the result of the river system attempting to naturally heal following some past change to its hydrology and geomorphology. It is obvious that more research and data collection are needed to define the natural levels of some pollutants prior to TMDL development.

Metals and Detection Limits

As discussed earlier, toxics concentrations in Nevada rivers are frequently less than the detection limits associated with the methods currently used by the State Health Laboratory for the NDEP monitoring program. This poses a problem when the detection limit is greater than the water quality criteria for the particular constituent. In those instances where the laboratory reports levels are "less than detection limit", it was not possible to determine whether or not a water quality standard is being met. For purposes of the 2004 303(d) List, it was generally assumed that a standard was being met if the data were reported as "less than the detection limit".

At this time, NDEP is working with the State Health Laboratory in lowering the detection limits thereby improving our ability to assess standards compliance. The constituents of particular concerns are summarized in Table 4 with the associated detection limits and water quality criteria for waters with a hardness of 30 mg/l as CaCO₃. In general, the lowest hardness levels found in Nevada's surface waters are around 30 mg/l. For those constituents with hardness-dependent criteria, the criteria become more restrictive with lower hardness values. It is at these lower hardness levels that the detection limits become a concern.

Table 4. Summary of Method Detection Limits and Criteria for Various Toxics

Parameter	Method Detection Limit, µg/l	1-hr Criteria, µg/l (for Hardness = 30 mg/l as CaCO ₃)	96-hr Criteria, μg/l (for Hardness = 30 mg/l as CaCO ₃)
Cadmium	1	0.9	0.4
Copper	20	4.9	3.6
Lead	2	8.8	0.2
Mercury	0.5	2	.012
Zinc	50	35.9	32.5

Note: Criteria are for dissolved concentrations, with the exception of mercury which is given as a total recoverable concentration. The mercury criteria are not hardness dependent.

Zinc

Exceedances of the dissolved zinc criteria were identified on a number of waterbodies. However upon close examination of the data, the dissolved zinc concentrations were found to be significantly greater than the total recoverable concentrations in many cases. This situation suggests that sample contamination may be occurring as it is not possible for dissolved concentrations to exceed total concentrations. Because of concerns about the accuracy of these data, no zinc listings were made using NDEP data.

Currently, NDEP is working with the State Health Laboratory to address this problem. It must be noted that this condition was found only with the zinc data and not other metals.

Truckee River Metals Monitoring

For several years, DRI (Desert Research Institute) has been monitoring water quality on the Truckee River. Due to funding constraints, metals analyses were dropped from the Truckee monitoring program in 1999. As a result, only 2 years of metals data were available for the Truckee River monitoring sites for the period 1997-2001. Also, data were restricted to total recoverable concentrations with no dissolved concentration data.

Total Recoverable vs. Dissolved Concentrations (Metals)

Nevada's water quality standards for metals includes criteria for both total recoverable and dissolved concentrations. Until recently, NDEP monitoring data were available only for total recoverable levels. Beginning in 1998 and 1999 (depending on the waterbody), NDEP began collecting filtered samples. As a result, for many waterbodies less than 5 years of filtered data were available for comparison to the dissolved water quality criteria.

Arsenic

Nevada's current water quality standards for arsenic is 50 µg/l for municipal and domestic supply beneficial uses (NAC 445A.144). On January 22, 2001 EPA adopted a new MCL (maximum contaminant level) standard for arsenic in drinking water at 10 µg/l, replacing the old standard of 50 µg/l. The rule became effective on February 22, 2002 and drinking water supply systems have until January 23, 2006 to comply with the MCL. For the 2004 303(d) List, the Nevada's current water quality standard of 50 µg/l was utilized in the analyses. NDEP is in the process of reviewing and updating its toxics standards (including arsenic). It must be noted that the regulations state that surface water quality in support of the municipal/domestic supply beneficial use is to be of appropriate quality so that the water can be treated by conventional methods in order to comply with Nevada's drinking water standards. In other words, a waterbody with municipal/domestic supply as a beneficial use is not expected to meet the drinking water MCLs without treatment; and when setting water quality standards, NDEP may set numeric criteria less restrictive than the MCLs. In some instances, NDEP and the State Environmental Commission has set surface water quality standards at levels equivalent to drinking water standards even though the constituents could be treated by conventional means. These numeric water quality standards apply in these cases.

Fecal Coliform

For many waterbodies, the fecal coliform criteria reads as follows:

"Based on a minimum of not less than 5 samples taken over a 30-day period, the fecal coliform bacterial level may not exceed a geometric mean of 200 per 100 ml nor may more than 10 percent of the total samples taken during any 30-day period exceed 400 per 100 ml."

There were no instances where the available data were of adequate frequency (at least 5 samples per month) to appropriately evaluate compliance with this standard. For instance, NDEP samples for bacteria 3 to 6 times per year depending upon the waterbody.

While the available fecal coliform data could not be used for assessing standards compliance and placing waters on the Impaired Waters List, the fecal coliform data were evaluated for possible inclusions on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation". For this analyses, the 200/100 ml standard was evaluated as an annual geometric mean standard, and the 400/100 ml standard was evaluated as a single value standard.

The existing fecal coliform criteria in the regulations were set for the prevention of illness resulting from water contact recreation. However, *E. Coli* bacteria has been found to be a better indicator of public health threats for water contact uses. Following U.S. EPA recommendations, NDEP is in the process of incorporating *E. Coli* criteria into the regulations.

Nonpoint Source Impairments

Originally, the focus of the Clean Water Act was to control and abate water pollution from point source. While great strides have been made in addressing these loads, the greatest challenge will be addressing nonpoint problems. As with most states, the majority of the impairments in Nevada are due to nonpoint source pollution.

BWQP through its Nonpoint Source (NPS) program manages activities and implements projects that prevent and reduce nonpoint source loading in the surface and ground waters of Nevada. Nevada's NPS program is voluntary, relying on public education/outreach, agency collaboration, technology transfer, implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and demonstration projects as mechanisms for reducing nonpoint sources loads. In addition to NDEP, other agencies, such as Natural Resources Conservation Service, are implementing projects to improvement water quality. As part of the NPS program, BWQP collaborates with these other agencies to the extent possible.

The success or failure of a voluntary nonpoint source control program depends upon the participation of a multitude of landowners, land management agencies, government agencies, decisionmakers and the public. Without buy in from the various entities, it becomes extremely difficult if not impossible to design and implement the necessary nonpoint source control projects.

Other Factors Causing and Related to Impairment

When people are first exposed to the TMDL concept, they tend to think in terms of loads when contemplating our water quality problems. However, there are other culprits that either cause impairment or at least contribute to the problem. For example, the water from the major streams in Nevada is utilized for a variety of consumptive uses, such as irrigation, drinking water, etc. These uses can lead to lower flows during certain times of the year thereby interfering with the river's ability to assimilate loads and support other beneficial uses. However, NDEP has no

ability regulate flows for compliance with water quality standards. According to the Clean Water Act,

"[I]t is the policy of Congress that the authority of each State to allocate quantities of water within its jurisdiction shall not be superseded, abrogated or otherwise impaired by this chapter. It is further the policy of Congress that nothing in this chapter shall be construed to supersede or abrogate rights to quantities of water which have been established by any State."

Nevada is the driest state in the nation. When beneficial uses were first recognized in the state regulations (1970s), some of these uses were based upon desired future conditions and not actual uses at the time. With much of the water diverted from the rivers for beneficial uses such as irrigation and drinking water, some of the other beneficial uses, such as propagation of aquatic life, can not be sustained during parts of the irrigation season.

Beginning in the mid-1800s, societal needs for space, food, water and ore resulted in changes to the major river systems in Nevada. Logging, mining, flood control, land development and the diversion of water for agriculture and municipalities have all altered the form and function of the rivers impairing water quality and aquatic life. Channelization, removal of riparian vegetation and encroaching development have impaired the ability of Nevada streams to support beneficial uses. For these streams, the solution may be to restore the form and function of the streams to the extent possible recognizing the competing needs in the watershed. However, much of the major river corridor areas are on private land further complicating any stream restoration plan.

Experience has shown that river restoration projects can be extremely expensive and controversial. The regulatory agencies can only do so much to protect public health and improve the environment, but ultimately society is responsible for making the choices to preserve and or restore some of our river systems.

Funding Limitations

BWQP is responsible for three main programs: 1) ambient water quality monitoring, 2) water quality standards and TMDL development, and 3) nonpoint source pollution management. While some of BWQP's efforts are not directly related to TMDLs, most of our activities provide the foundation needed for TMDL development.

The lack of funding and staffing for TMDL development and implementation, and other support activities, such as monitoring, research, and nonpoint source assessment, is one of the largest obstacles facing Nevada. Some of the other issues previously discussed could be better addressed with higher levels of funding. It needs to be realized that the amount of money that has been spent on point source control is small compared to that needed for nonpoint source problems.

The most significant funding source available are CWA Section 319 funds. These funds assist Nevada in implementing its voluntary Nonpoint Source program. EPA has developed new guidelines which identify the process and criteria to be used in distributing 319 funds. In

general, the new guidelines create a more concentrated focus on the development and implementation of TMDLs related to nonpoint source pollution.

On the federal level, the Natural Resources Conservation Service Environmental Quality Program (EQIP) is another source of funding available to private landowners for the implementation of water quality improvement projects. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation and the Corps of Engineers also provide monies to local agencies to implement restoration and water quality control projects.

While the 319 and other funds will be very helpful in developing and implementing effective TMDLs, much more is needed to adequately address all of the issues. Without additional funds, we are doomed to produce more "bare bones" TMDLs to satisfy the CWA requirements.

Glossary

Best Management Practices (BMPs). Methods, measures, or practices determined to be reasonable and cost-effective means for a landowner to meet certain pollution (generally nonpoint source) control needs.

Geometric Mean. The value obtained by taking the "nth" root of the product of "n" numbers. Example: For the dataset (10, 15, 12, 11), the geometric mean = $(10 \times 15 \times 12 \times 11)^{1/4}$

Impaired waterbody. A water that does not attain/maintain the water quality standards throughout the waterbody due to individual or multiple pollutants or other causes of pollution.

Load allocations. The portion of a TMDL's pollutant load allocated to nonpoint sources (NPS) or background sources.

Median. For a given set of numbers, the median is the value which has an equal number of values greater and less than it.

Narrative standards. Nonquantitative guidelines that describe the desired water quality goals.

Nonpoint sources. Pollution that is discharged over a wide land area and not from one specific location.

Point sources. Pollutant loads discharge at a specific location from pipes, outfalls, and conveyance channels from either municipal wastewater treatment plants or industrial waste treatment facilities. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agriculture storm water runoff.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). A TMDL is a written, quantitative plan and analysis for attaining and maintaining water quality standards in all seasons for a specific waterbody and pollutant. Total maximum daily loads or TMDLs are an assessment of the maximum amount of pollutant a waterbody can receive without violating water quality standards. TMDLs take into account pollution from all sources, including discharges from sewage treatment facilities and industry; runoff from farms, forests and urban areas; and natural sources. TMDLs provide a way to integrate the management of both point and nonpoint sources of pollution through the establishment of wasteload allocations (WLA) for point source discharges and load allocations (LA) for nonpoint sources of pollution. The TMDL Program is designed to help bring waterbodies into compliance with the water quality standards as needed to support their designated uses such as irrigation, aquatic life, municipal or domestic supply, and water contact recreation.

Waste load allocations. The portion of a TMDL's pollutant load allocated to point sources subject to NPDES permits.

Appendix A

EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Nevada's 2004 303(d) List

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size	Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL Priority	TMDL New Priority Listing?	Notes
Black Rock Desert L	Black Rock Desert Basin [NORTHWEST]										
	445A.125	Bilk Creek Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	38.1 Acres		None	Dissolved Oxygen	NDEP	3	×	14
						1	рН		3	×	14
Snake River Basin											
	445A.216	Salmon Falls Creek	Above stateline	37.2 miles		None	Iron (total)	NDEP	8	×	
						,	Temperature		3		
							Total phosphorus		3	×	1
						,	Total suspended solids		3	×	
							Turbidity		3	×	
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.217	Shoshone Creek	Above stateline	11.51 mi	miles No	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	3	×	
							Temperature		3		
							Total phosphorus		3	×	1
						•	Total suspended solids		3	×	
						•	Turbidity		3	×	
							Copper (Dissolved)		3	×	14
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.218	East Fork Jarbidge River	Above stateline	18.6 mi	miles No	None	Temperature	NDEP	3	×	
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.219	Jarbidge River	Source to Town of Jarbidge	7.44 mi	miles No	None .	Total phosphorus	NDEP	8	×	-
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.220	Jarbidge River	Town of Jarbidge to stateline	8.98 miles		None	Temperature	NDEP	3	×	
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.222	East Fork Owyhee River	Wildhorse Reservoir to Mill Creek	13.75 miles		Draft TMDL Iron, Total	Iron (total)	NDEP	1		
					<u> </u>	bidity	Temperature		1	×	
						•	Total phosphorus		1		1
							Total suspended solids		1		
						•	Turbidity		1		
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.223	East Fork Owyhee River	Mill Creek to Duck Valley Indian	7.71 miles		Draft TMDL Iron, Total	Total phosphorus	NDEP	1		1,2
			neservanor		<u> </u>		Total suspended solids		1		1,2
							Turbidity		1		1,2
						·	Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	445A.125	Wildhorse Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	2,830 Acres		None	Hd	NDEP	8	×	က
						•	Total phosphorus		3	×	1
							Copper (Dissolved)		3	×	14
							Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
										*	

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL	New Listing?	Notes
Snake River Basin (cont)	(cont)									
	Tributary to EF Owyhee	Mill Creek	Above East Fork Owyhee River	1.44 miles	Draft TMDL Iron, Total	Cadmium (total)	NDEP	1	×	
	River - 445A.223				phosphorus, TDS, TSS	Copper (dissolved)		-	×	4
						Copper (total)		-	×	
						Dissolved oxygen		-	×	
						Iron (total)		-	×	
						Hd		1	×	
						Temperature		1	×	
						Total dissolved solids		-	×	
						Total phosphorus		-	×	-
						Total suspended solids		-	×	
						Turbidity		-	×	
						Zinc (Dissolved)		ဇ	×	14
	445A.225	South Fork Owyhee River	Above Stateline	75 miles	None	Temperature	BLM - Elko District	3	×	
	Tributary to SF Owyhee River - 445A.225	Snow Creek	Below Jerritt Canyon Project	6 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	AngloGold-Meridian Jerritt Canyon Joint Venture	3	×	
	Tributary to SF Owyhee River - 445A.225	Jerritt Canyon Creek	Below Jerritt Canyon Project	6 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	AngloGold-Meridian Jerritt Canyon Joint Venture	ဇ	×	
	Tributary to SF Owyhee River - 445A.225	Mill Creek	Below Jerritt Canyon Project	1 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	AngloGold-Meridian Jerritt Canyon Joint Venture	ဇ	×	
	Tributary to SF Owyhee River - 445A.225	Burns Creek	Origin to Forest Service Boundary	4.8 miles	None	Total dissolved solids		3	×	14
Humbolt River Basin	in									
	445A.126	Humboldt River	Woolsey to Rodgers Dam	13.22 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	NDEP	2	×	5
						Iron (total)		3	×	14
	445A.127	Humboldt River	Rodgers Dam to Humboldt Sink	22.77 miles	None	Boron (total)	NDEP, USGS	3		
							NDEP	3		
						Molybdenum	USGS	3	×	
	445A.125	Mary's River	East line of T41N, R59E to Humboldt River	53.2 miles	None	Total phosphorus	NDEP	3	×	-
	445A.125	Mary's River	Entire River	82.6 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	8	×	14
						Dissolved Oxygen		3	×	14
	445A.125	nboldt River	Elko County Line to North Fork Little Humboldt	18.2 miles	None		NDEP	က	×	14
	445A.126	Little Humboldt River	Entire Length	53.52 miles	None	s	NDEP	ε	×	1,5
						Zinc (Dissolved)		8	×	14

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL Priority	New Listing?	Notes
(tuco) nioca socia stodaniu	(accord)									
numbolt niver basin	(cont)		•				-			
4	445A.124	North Fork Humboldt River and its tributaries in the Independence Mountain Range (specifically Dry	North Fork Humboldt River and its NF Humboldt – Source to Confluence tributaries in the Independence with Cole Canyon with Range Specifically Dry	2.73 miles	None	Selenium (total)	AngloGold Corporation	е е	×	41
		Creek, Water Canyon Creek	NF Humboldt - Confluence with Sammy Creek to National Forest Boundary	3.5 miles	None	Total dissolved solids		е п	×	
			Dry Creek - waste rock to confluence	0.1 miles	None	Selenium (total)		က	×	4
			with NF Humboldt			Total dissolved solids		ო	×	
			Sammy Creek - above waste rock	0.6 miles	None	Arsenic (total)		က	×	
			(upstream of big oprings wiffe)			Selenium (total)		က	×	4
						Zinc (Dissolved)		က	×	14
			Sammy Creek - waste rock to confluence	0.6 miles	None	Total dissolved solids		3	×	
			with NF Humboldt			Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
			Water Canyon Creek - waste rock to	0.3 miles	None	Selenium (total)		3	×	4
						Total dissolved solids		3	×	
4	445A.125	North Fork Humboldt River	National Forest Boundary to Humboldt	84.67 miles	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	က	×	2
			niver			Temperature		3	×	
						Total phosphorus		3	×	1
ΕĪ	Tributary to North Fork Humboldt River - 445A.125	Sheep Creek	Below Jerritt Canyon Project	6 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	AngloGold-Meridian Jerritt Canyon Joint Venture	е	×	
44	445A.125	South Fork Humboldt River	Lee to Humboldt River	32.75 miles	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	3	×	
						Total phosphorus		3	×	1
4	445A.125	South Fork Humboldt River	Dixie Creek confluence to Humboldt	14.93 miles	None	Lead (Dissolved)	NDEP	3	×	14
			niver			Zinc (Dissolved)		ဇ	×	14
47	445A.125	South Fork Humboldt Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	1,650 acres	None	Hd	NDEP	3	×	ဇ
44	445A.125	Maggie Creek	Where it is formed by tributaries to confluence with Jack Creek	28.07 miles	None	Total phosphorus	NDEP	ဇ	×	1,5
44	445A.126	Maggie Creek	Confluence with Jack Creek to Humboldt River	23.4 miles	None	Hd	NDEP	က	×	14
11.	Tributary to Maggie Creek Simon Creek - 445A.126	Simon Creek	Above confluence with Maggie Creek	1 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	Newmont Mining Corporation	ဇ	×	
44	445A.203	Humboldt River	Origin to Osino	66.12 Miles	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	2	×	ß
						Total phosphorus		2	×	-
						Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
4	445A.204	Humboldt River	Osino to Palisade	64.39 miles	Total phosphorus, TSS	Iron (total)	NDEP	2		
						Total phosphorus		2		-
						Turbidity		2		
						Zinc (Dissolved)		က	×	14

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size U	Units Existing TMDLs		Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL Priority	New Listing?	Notes
Humbolt River Basin (cont)	(cont)										
4	445A.205	Humboldt River	Palisade to Battle Mtn	76.5 miles	s Total phosphorus, TSS	iorus, TSS Iro	Iron (total)	NDEP	ဇ		2
						2	Total phosphorus		က		٦
						₽	Total suspended solids		ဧ	×	
						7	Turbidity		3		
						Zir	Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
	Tributary to Humboldt Biver -445A, 205	Pine Creek	Upstream of Palisade	15.92 miles	s None	lro	Iron (total)	NDEP	3	×	5
						욘	Total dissolved solids		в	×	
						인	Total phosphorus		ဇ	×	-
						<u>P</u>	Total suspended solids		က	×	
						2	Turbidity		ε	×	
ΕΞ	Tributary to Pine Creek & Humboldt River - 445A.205	Willow Creek	Below Buckhorn Mine	5 miles	s None	Me	Mercury (dissolved)	Cominco American Inc.	3	×	
4	445A.206	Humboldt River	Battle Mtn to Comus	81.36 miles	s Total phosphorus, TDS, TSS		Boron (total)	NDEP	င	×	
						lro	Iron (total)		ဇ		
						To	Total dissolved solids		3	×	
						To	Total phosphorus		3		1
						To	Fotal suspended solids		3	×	
						Τ	Turbidity		3		
						Zir	Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
4	445A.207	Humboldt River	Comus to Imlay	114.09 miles	s Total phosphorus, TDS, TSS		Iron (total)	NDEP	ε		2
							Molybdenum	nses	င	×	
						악	Total dissolved solids	NDEP	3	×	
						To	Total phosphorus		ဧ		1
						<u>P</u>	Total suspended solids		ဧ	×	
						2	Turbidity		3		
						Zir	Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
4	445A.208	Humboldt River	Imlay to Woolsey	44.42 miles	None	MC	Molybdenum	usas	е	×	
				_							

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL	New Listing?	Notes
Truckee River Basin										
44	445A.186	Truckee River	Idlewild to East McCarran	6.25 miles	None	Temperature	TMWRF	2	×	
44	445A.187	Truckee River	East McCarran to Lockwood	5.85 miles	Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, TDS	Total phosphorus	DRI/TMWRF	2		-
44(445A.188	Truckee River	Lockwood to Derby Dam	15.15 miles	Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, TDS	Total phosphorus Turbidity	DRI/TMWRF	2 2		-
44	445A.189	Truckee River	Derby Dam to Pyramid Lake Reservation	11.22 miles		Temperature Total phosphorus Turbidity	DRI/TMWRF	e e e	×	-
444	445A.124	Whites Creek	Origin to E line of Sec. 33, T18N, R19E, MDBM	8.81 miles	None	Arsenic	NDEP	e e	××	41 2
						Total Dissolved Solids Total phosphorus Zinc (Dissolved)		n en en en	< × × ×	t 4 t t 4 t
4	445A.125	Whites Greek	Below the E line of Sec 33, T18N, R19E, MDBM	13.64 miles	None	Arsenic Boron Total Dissolved Solids Total phosphorus Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	m m m m	× × × ×	4 4 4 4 4
44	445A.125	Franktown Creek	First irrigation diversion to Washoe Lake	9.07 miles	None	Dissolved oxygen Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	8 8	× ×	14
44	445A.126	Galena Creek	From gauging Stn #10-348900 located in the SW ¼ SW ¼ Sec 2, T17N, R19E, MDBM to confluence with Steamboat CK	3.61 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	8	×	14
44	445A.127	Thomas Creek	Origin to confluence with Steamboat Ck	14.08 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	3	×	14
<u>14</u>	445A.126	Steamboat Creek	Washoe Lakes to Sec 33, T18N, R20E	5.41 miles	None	Iron (total) Mercury (total) Total phosphorus Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP, UNR	e e e e	× × × ×	9 1 41
44	445A.127	Steamboat Creek	Sec 33, T18N, R20E to Truckee River	13.71 miles	None	Arsenic (total) Boron (total) Iron (total) Mercury (total)	NDEP	e e e e	× × × ×	7 7 9
44;	445A.126	Tracy Ponds –Large Tracy Ponds –Small	Entire Entire	33 acres 3.46 acres	None	Hd		3	× ×	14

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID NAC Reference	srence Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL Priority 1	New Listing?	Notes
Lake Tahoe Basin									
445A.191	Lake Tahoe	Mid-Lake and Index Station	36,812 acres (Nevada portion only)	TMDL underdevelopment	Clarity	Tahoe Research Group	-	×	
445A.1915	1st Creek	Above Lake Tahoe	1.81 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	ε	×	14
445A.1915	2nd Creek	2nd Creek Drive to Lake Tahoe	0.45 miles	None	Total phosphorus	NDEP	3	×	
					Turbidity		ဗ	×	
					Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.1915	2nd Creek	Origin to 2nd Creek Drive	2 miles	None	Total phosphorus	NDEP	က	×	
					Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.1915	3rd Creek	Lake Tahoe to EF 3 rd Creek at Highway 431 and to WF 3 rd Creek Origin	0.31 miles	None	Total phosphorus	NDEP	3	×	
					Zinc (Dissolved)	1	က	×	14
445A.1915	EF Incline Creek	Ski resort to Origin	4.66 miles	None	Total phosphorus	NDEP	ε	×	
445A.1915	WF Incline Creek	Origin to Highway 431	0.62 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	3	×	14
445A.1915	Incline Greek	Lake Tahoe to EF Incline Creek at ski resort and to WF Incline Creek at Highway 431	0.19 miles	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	ဇ	×	
		`			Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.1915	Wood Creek	Above Lake Tahoe	3.56 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	3	×	14
445A.1915	Glenbrook Creek	Above Lake Tahoe	3.83 miles	None	Iron (total)	nsas	3	×	
					Total phosphorus		က	×	
445A.1915	Edgewood Creek	Above Lake Tahoe	5.37 miles	None	Iron (total)	USGS	3	×	
Carson River Basin									
445A.126	Carson River	Lahontan Reservoir to Carson Sink	40.46 miles	None	Mercury	NDEP	ε	×	9, 10
					Iron (total)		3	×	14
					Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.126	Stillwater Marsh	Area of Stillwater Marsh east of Westside Road and north of the community of	19,326 acres (Class C	None	Arsenic	NDEP	က		2
			and Class D		Boron		က		2
			waters)		Mercury		3		10
Not applicable	All waters below Lahontan Dam in In/a Lahontan Valley	n/a	n/a n/a	None	Mercury	NDEP, NDOW, Nevada Health Division	3	×	10
Tributary to Car 445A.125	Tributary to Carson River - Lower Clear Greek 445A.125	From gauging station #10-3105 located in NE1/4 NW ½ Sec 1, T14N, R19E, MDBM to confluence Carson River	4.18 miles	None	Zinc (Dissolved)	NDEP	ო	×	14
445A.125	Stillwater Point Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	1913 acres	None	Iron (total)		3	×	14

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

ω L L L ω							
NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP	NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP	NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP	NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP	NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP Solids solids solids solids	South Tahoe Public South Tahoe Public South Tahoe Public Utilities District I Eviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP SSouth Tahoe Public Utilities District	Seolids NDEP Leviathan Mine Database NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP NDEP Seolids South Tahoe Public Utilities District NDEP	Seolids Solids NDEP
oended solids solved))	ure solved)) ure ure sphorus	ure solved) ure sphorus sphorus schorus sphorus	ure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus	ure solved) Uure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus solved) oure sphorus	ure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus ure sphorus ure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus	ure solved) Ure sphorus sphorus sphorus Sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus	ure solved) ure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus ure sphorus
ure solved)) ure solved))	ure solved)) ure solved)) ure sphorus	ure solved)) ure sphorus shorus shorus	ure solved)) ure sphorus sphorus solved)	ure solved) Uure Sphorus	ure solved) Ure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus ure sphorus solved) solved) solved) solved) solved) sphorus	ure solved) ure sphorus	ure solved) ure sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus ure sphorus
ure solved)) ure ure	ure solved)) ure ure sphorus	ure solved)) ure sphorus schorus schorus	ure solved)) ure sphorus solved) overed sphorus sphorus solved)	ure solved) ure sphorus sphorus sphorus bure sphorus colved) ure sphorus solved)	ure solved) Uure sphorus sphorus sphorus uure sphorus solved) uure sphorus solved) solved) solved) solved) solved) solved)	ure bended solids colved) ure sphorus () () () () () () () () () (ure solved) ure sphorus solved) colved) sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus sphorus colved) colved) colved) colved) colved)
Temperature NDEP Total suspended solids Turbidity Zinc (Dissolved) Iron (total) Turbidity Temperature Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity NDEP	bended solids solved)) ure sphorus	ure sphorus ure sphorus schorus schorus	ure sphorus solved) ure sphorus sphorus solved)	ure solved) ure sphorus ure sphorus ure sphorus ure sphorus solved) oure sphorus solved) oure sphorus	ure solved) Ure sphorus Sphorus Ure sphorus Sphorus Solved) Solved) Solved) Solved) Solved) Solved)	ure sphorus sphorus ure sphorus ure sphorus colved) solved) solved) solved) solved) solved) solved) solved)	ure solved) Uure sphorus
			. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · ·			
BOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS BOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS BOD, Nitrate, BOD, Nitrate,	BOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS BOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS BOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS	SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS	SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS ADD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS Phosphates, TDS Phosphates, TDS	SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS	SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS	3OD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS 3OD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS 3OD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS 3OD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS ANDROWNERS, TDS ANDROWNERS, TDS ANDROWNERS, TDS ANDROWNERS, TDS ANDROWNERS, TDS ANDROWNERS, TDS	SOD, Nitrate, Phosphates, TDS SOD, N
10.48 miles 8.53 miles 2 miles	8.53 miles	8.53 miles 2 miles 11.23 miles				4.01	4.01
2	ighway 88 8.53	ighway 88 Iller Lane		ne on River at Sarson River at		8,	8. 1.1. 4.4
Highway 395 to Highway Highway 88 to Muller Lan	Highway 395 to Highway Highway 88 to Muller Lan	Highway 395 to Highway Highway 88 to Muller Lan Stateline to Muller Lane	way 395 to Highway way 88 to Muller Lan iline to Muller Lane	8 to Highway 8 to Muller Lane Muller Lane 9 and to WF Co	Highway Muller Lane Ter Carsol Ito WF G	lighway r Lane r Carso o WF G	Highway Iuller Lane F Carson to WF G
Highway 88 to	Highway 88 to	Highway 88 to I	way 88 to I	Mu se to			≥ ≐ ⊎ҕ ኞ
			State	Highway 8. Stateline to Genoa Lar Muller Lan Muller Lan	Highway 88 to Muler Lane and Muller Lane and Muller Lane and Muller Lane Af Stateline	Highway 88 to Muller L Stateline to Muller L Muller Lane Muller Lane At Stateline At Stateline Above Carson River	Highway 88 to Mulle Cana and Muller Lane and Muller Lane and Muller Lane At Stateline At Stateline At Stateline Above Carson Ri
	Temperature 3 Total phosphorus 2	Total phosphorus	Temperature	Total phosphorus	Total phosphorus	Total phosphorus Turbidity Total phosphorus Total ph	Total phosphorus

Appendix A - Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID NA	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL	New Listing?	Notes
Carson River Basin (cont)										
445A.153		Carson River	Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge	5.88 miles	BOD, Nitrate,	Iron (total)	NDEP	3	×	
					2000	Temperature		ю	×	
						Total phosphorus		2		-
						Total suspended solids		7	×	
						Turbidity		2		
						Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.154		Carson River	Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch	6.34 miles	BOD, Nitrate,		NDEP	8	×	
			000		, , ,	Temperature		8	×	
						Total phosphorus		2		1
						Total suspended solids		2	×	
						Turbidity		2		
						Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.155		Carson River	Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire	7.82 miles	BOD, Nitrate,	Iron (total)	NDEP	က	×	
					2000	Temperature		က	×	
						Total phosphorus		2		1
						Turbidity		2		
						Zinc (Dissolved)		က	×	14
445A.156		Carson River	New Empire to Dayton Bridge	16.82 miles	BOD, Nitrate,	Iron (total)	NDEP	3	×	
					- 100pmares, - 00	Mercury (total)		ဗ		6, 9, 10
						Total phosphorus		-		1
						Total suspended solids		-	×	
						Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.157		Carson River	Dayton Bridge to Weeks	25.5 miles	BOD, Nitrate,	Iron (total)	NDEP	က	×	
						Mercury (total)		က		6, 9, 10
						Total phosphorus		-		1
						Total suspended solids		-	×	
						Turbidity		-	×	
						Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14
445A.158		Carson River	Weeks to Lahontan Dam	29.17 miles	BOD, Nitrate, Phosphates TDS	Iron (total)	NDEP	3		2
					, ,	Mercury (total)		ဗ		6, 9, 10
						Total phosphorus		3		1
						Total suspended solids		3		
						Turbidity		ဗ	×	
						Zinc (Dissolved)		3	×	14

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Existing TMDLs	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	TMDL Priority L	New Listing?	Notes
Walker River Basin										
445,	445A.160	West Walker River	At Stateline	0 miles	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	3	×	
						Total phosphorus		8	×	-
445,	445A.161	Topaz Lake	Entire Reservoir	986.7 acres	None	Temperature	NDEP	3	×	14
445,	445A.162	West Walker River	Stateline to Wellington	16.9 miles	None	Boron (total)	NDEP	3	×	
						Iron (total)		က	×	
						Hd		т		
						Total phosphorus		т		-
						Temperature		က	×	14
445,	445A.163	West Walker River	Wellington to Confluence with East	25.69 miles	None	Iron (total)	NDEP	ဗ	×	
			waiker hiver			Total phosphorus		3		1
445.	445A.164	Sweetwater Creek	Stateline to Confluence with East Walker	8.07 miles	None	E Coli	NDEP	3	×	
			RIVE			Total phosphorus		3		1
445.	445A.165	East Walker River	At Stateline	0 miles	None	Nitrite	NDEP	3	×	
						рН		3		
						Temperature		3	×	
						Total phosphorus		3		1
445,	445A.166	East Walker River	Stateline to Bridge B-1475	22.7 miles	Total suspended solids	Н	NDEP	3	×	
						Total phosphorus		က	×	-
445,	445A.166	East Walker River	East Walker River from Bridge B-1475 to	41.7 miles	Total suspended solids	Iron (total)	NDEP	8		2
			the confluence with the W. Walker			Temperature		က	×	
						Total phosphorus		3	×	-
						Total suspended solids		3		
445,	445A.167	Walker River	Confluence of East and West Walker	41.15 miles	Total suspended solids	Iron (total)	NDEP	က		
			Rivers to walker Hiver Indian Reservation Boundary			Total suspended solids		က		
445.	445A.1696	Walker Lake	Entire Lake	35,500 acres	None	spilos pa	NDEP, NDOW, USFWS, UC Berkeley, others	1	×	12
445,	445A.169	Desert Creek	Stateline to Confluence with West Walker River	23.39 miles	None	Temperature	NDEP	3	×	
445,	445A.126	Mason Valley Wildlife	North Pond	100 acres	None	Hd	NDEP	ဗ	×	8
		only)				Total dissolved solids		3	×	
						Total phosphorus		3	×	1
445,	445A.124	Corey Creek	From it's origin to the point of diversion of	8.88 miles	None	Total dissolved solids	NDEP	က	×	14
			me rown of nawmorne			Phosphorus		3	×	14
Comins Lake Basin										
445,	445A.126	Comins Lake	Entire Lake	136 acres	None	Hd	NDEP	ဇ	×	3

Table A-1. EPA Approved Final-Nov 2005: Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waterbodies List (cont)

Notes		14	14	14	13	14	14	14				-	14				1	14			-			
New Listing?		×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×		×		×	×		×		×			×	×
TMDL Priority		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	ε	က	ဇ	3	1	က	ε	8	ε	3	ဧ	က	3	က	ဇ
Data Sources					NDEP				NDEP					NDEP					NDEP			NDEP		
Pollutant or Stressor of Concern		Zinc (Dissolved)	Iron (total)	рН	Iron (total)	Selenium	Temperature	Zinc (total)	Boron (total)	Iron (total)	Temperature	Total phosphorus	Selenium	Boron (total)	Iron (total)	Temperature	Total phosphorus	Selenium	Iron (total)	Temperature	Total phosphorus	Boron (total)	Iron (total)	Temperature
Existing TMDLs		None	None		Total ammonia, total		None	None	Draft TMDL Boron					Draft TMDL Boron					None			None		
Units		44.73 acres	58.07 acres		5.12 miles		66.6 miles	15.7 miles	4.5 miles					25.75 miles					13.63 miles			25.07 miles		
Size		44.73	58.07		5.12		9.99	15.7	4.5					25.75					13.63			25.07		
Reach Description		Entire Reservoir	Entire Reservoir		Telephone Line Road to Lake Mead		From the stateline below Davis Dam to the inlet at Lake Mohave	From the Lake Mohave inlet to Hoover Dam	Stateline to Mesquite					Mesquite to Lake Mead					Source to Glendale			Glendale to Lake Mead		
terbody ID NAC Reference Waterbody Name Reac		Eagle Valley Reservoir	Echo Canyon Reservoir		Las Vegas Wash		Colorado River	Colorado River	Virgin River					Virgin River					Muddy River			Muddy River		
NAC Reference	sin	445A.125	445A.126		445A.201		445A.192	445A.193	445A.175					445A.177					445A.210			445A.211		
Waterbody ID	Colorado River Basin																							

The phosphorus standard may not be appropriate for eutrophication control

1. While the Brookliss Slough has no specific numeric criteria, the tributary rule was applied thereby utilizing the numeric criteria for the Carson River: Genoa to Cradlebaugh Bridge Reach (NAC 445A.153). It needs to be recognized that at the junction of Brookliss

Less than 10 samples were available at the control point for this parameter, however this parameter was on the 1998 303(d) List and the available data does not justify delisting.

Current pH standard is outdated and needs to be revised to 6.5 to 9.0 based upon current EPA recommendations. However, the available data show that the new pH criteria have not been met. Both the 1-hour and 96-hour criteria were exceeded in over 10% of the samples.

⁸ to 9 samples were available at the control point for this parameter, however there were significant exceedences (4 or more) in the available samples.

The 1-hour criteria were not exceeded, but the 96-hour criteria were exceeded in over 10% of the samples. Though grab samples may not representative of conditions (depending upon the situation) over a 96-hour period, the fact that the grab sample data nistently exceeded the 96-hour criteria by a factor of 50 to 100 times the standard is deemed to be a good indication that the 96-hour conditions are in fact in exceedence of the 96-hour criteria by a factor of 50 to 100 times the standard is deemed to be a good indication that the 96-hour conditions are in fact in exceedence of the 96-hour criteria by a factor of 50 to 100 times the standard is deemed to be a good indication that the 96-hour conditions are in fact in exceedence of the 96-hour criteria.

Pollutant may be naturally occurring. Additional data should be collected prior to development of TMDLs

Leviathan Mine is listed on the National Priorities List (Superfund) because of acid mine drainage into adjoining creeks. Copper, iron and nickel have been found to be present in amounts that are harmful to public health, the environment and aquatic life. Carson River from New Empire down to Carson Sink is listed on the National Priorities List (Superfund) due to mercury contamination from historic mining activities. 0. Nevada State Health Division has issued a fish consumption advisory for the Carson River from Dayton to Lahontan Dam and all waters in the Lahontan Valley.

^{12.} In 2002, EPA approved the beneficial uses and criteria promulgated by the State of Nevada for Walker Lake. The propagation of aquatic life beneficial uses. While the standards do not include numeric criteria for TDS, the Nevada Division of Wildlife has shown that TDS levels have impaired the aquatic life beneficial use. NDOW found that hatchery LCT experienced high death rates upon release into the high TDS waters of Walker Lake. In the mid-1990s, NDOW began acclimating the action of the levaled by the lates and into Walker Lake. While this acclimation process has improved initial fish survival, the health and lifespan of the LCT and its food sources are impaired due to the elevated TDS levels. Increasing TDS concentrations have calculated a reduction in biological diversity and the extinction of at least one zooplankton species. The declining water quality is also directly related to the loss of native species of fish (Tahoe sucker, andonoming a reduction) and the extinction of at least one zooplankton species. The declining water quality is also directly related to the loss of native species of fish (Tahoe sucker, and promotionally, the 2002 30516) Report identified and Walker Lake as "Nat Supporting". Sources include: "Walker Lake Limnological Report, 1995-1996", Horne & Beutel, UC Berkeley, 1997; Communications with Robert Williams, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, October 29, 2001. ilough and the West Fork Carson River most of the West Fork Carson River flow enters the Brockliss Slough, with little flow continuing down the West Fork channel at this point.

^{4.} EPA APPROVED FINAL November 2005 ADDED this waterbody-pollutant to the NDEP proposed Final List of June 2005 3. Data indicates that a majority of the iron is in particulate form associated with sediment.

Appendix B

DELIST FROM FINAL 2002 303(d)

see Appendix D 2004 303(d) List Report [this document]

List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water)

Table B-1. List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Snake River Basin	u					
	445A.216	Salmon Falls Creek	Above stateline	37.2 miles	Fecal coliform	
	445A.218	East Fork Jarbidge River	Above stateline	18.6 miles	Fecal coliform	
	445A.219	Jarbidge River	Source to Town of Jarbidge	7.44 miles	Total phosphorus	
Humboldt River Basin	sin					
	445A.203	Humboldt River	Origin to Osino	66.12 miles	Hd	
	445A.204	Humboldt River	Osino to Palisade	64.39 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
	445A.205	Humboldt River	Palisade to Battle Mtn	76.5 miles	Hd	
	445A.206	Humboldt River	Battle Mtn to Comus	81.36 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
					Total dissolved solids	
	445A.207	Humboldt River	Comus to Imlay	114.09 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
	445A.208	Humboldt River	Imlay to Woosley	44.42 miles	Total dissolved solids	
Lake Tahoe Basin						
	445A.1917	1st Creek	Origin to Lake Tahoe	1.8 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	2nd Creek	2nd Creek Drive to Lake Tahoe	0.45 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	2nd Creek	Origin to 2nd Creek Drive	2 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	3rd Creek	Lake Tahoe to EF 3rd Creek at Highway 431 and	0.31 miles	Chlorides	
			O WT Std Creek Cigin		Total dissolved solids	

Table B-1. List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water) (continued)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Lake Tahoe Basin	n					
	445A.1917	WF Incline Creek	Origin to Highway 431	3.11 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
					Total dissolved solids	
					Total nitrogen	
					Turbidity	
	445A.1917	EF Incline Creek	Ski resort to Origin	4.66 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	Incline Creek	Lake Tahoe to EF Incline Creek at ski resort and	0.19 miles	Chlorides	
			to WF Incline Creek at Highway 431		Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
Truckee River Basin	ısin					
	445A.185	Truckee River	Stateline to Idlewild	15.7 miles	Total nitrogen	
	445A.186	Truckee River	Idlewild to East McCarran	6.25 miles	Total nitrogen	
	445A.188	Truckee River	Lockwood to Derby Dam	15.15 miles	Turbidity	
Carson River Basin	sin					
	445A.147	WF Carson River	At Stateline	0 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
					Total phosphorus	
	445A.148	Bryant Creek	Near Stateline	0 miles	Total nitrogen	
					Total phosphorus	
	445A.150	EF Carson River	Stateline to Highway 395	10.48 miles	Hd	
					Total dissolved solids	
					Total nitrogen	

Appendix B – Waterbodies with Exceedance of RMHQs – NOT Eligible for Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List [See Continuing Planning Process discussion] EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Table B-1. List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water) (continued)

Curson River Basin Highway 385 to Muller Lane 10.53 miles Highway 385 to Muller Lane 10.63 miles Highway 385 to Muller Lane Total dissolved solids Total dissolved solids Total dissolved solids Claracon River Claracon River Claracon River Cradiobaugh Bridge to Maxican Ditch Gage to Naw Empire to Dayton Bridge to Maxican Ditch Gage to Naw Empire to Dayton Bridge to Weeks to Lahontan Dam 2.5.5 miles Chiracides 44.5A.156 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks to Lahontan Dam 2.5.5 miles Chiracides Chiracides 44.5A.156 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks to Lahontan Dam 2.5.5 miles Chiracides Chiracides 44.5A.156 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks to Lahontan Dam 2.5.5 miles Chiracides Chiracides 44.5A.156 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 2.5.5 miles Chiracides Chiracides 44.5A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 2.5.5 miles Chiracides Chiracides 44.5A.159 Carson River Al Stateline Chiracides	Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	IAC Reference Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size	Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Highway 395 to Muller Lane Highway 395 to Muller Lane 10.53 miles	Carson River Bası	in						
45A.152 EFWF Carson River Genoa Lane to EF Carson River at Muller Lane 15.82 miles 45A.153 Carson River Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge 5.88 miles 45A.154 Carson River Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage 6.34 miles 45A.155 Carson River Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire 7.82 miles 45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.150 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres				Highway 395 to Muller Lane	10.53 mile	Sé	Hd	
15.82 miles 15.82 miles 15.82 miles 15.82 miles 15.83 miles 15.84 miles							Total nitrogen	
Section Applies Applies				Genoa Lane to EF Carson River at Muller Lane	15.82 mile	Sé	Hd	
45A.153 Carson River Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge 5.88 miles 45A.154 Carson River Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage 6.34 miles 45A.155 Carson River Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire 7.82 miles 45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge to Weeks 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.159 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.160 West Walker River 10 paz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres				and to WF Carson River at Stateline			Total dissolved solids	
45A.154 Carson River Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage 6.34 miles 45A.155 Carson River Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire 7.82 miles 45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge to Weeks 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres		445A.153	Carson River	Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge	5.88 mile	Sć	Chlorides	
45A.154 Carson River Cradiebbaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage 6.34 miles 45A.155 Carson River Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire 7.82 miles 45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline O miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Hd	
45A.154 Carson River Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire 6.34 miles 45A.155 Carson River Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire 7.82 miles 45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 988 acres 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake Topaz Lake							Total dissolved solids	
45A.155 Carson River Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire to Dayton Bridge 7.82 miles 45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres				Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage	6.34 mile	Sé	Sulfate	
45A.156 Carson River New Empire to Dayton Bridge 16.82 miles 45A.157 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres				Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire	7.82 mile	Sé	Hd	
45A.157 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks (Nevada portion) 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres			Carson River	New Empire to Dayton Bridge	16.82 mile	St	Chlorides	
45A.157 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks (Nevada portion) Bridge to Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres 18A.161 Topaz Lake (Nevada portion)							Hd	
45A.157 Carson River Dayton Bridge to Weeks 25.5 miles 45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Turbidity	
45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres			Carson River	Dayton Bridge to Weeks	25.5 mile	Sé	Chlorides	
45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline O miles Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Fecal coliform	
45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Hd	
45A.158 Carson River Weeks to Lahontan Dam 29.17 miles 45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline O miles Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Turbidity	
45A.161 Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres			Carson River	Weeks to Lahontan Dam	29.17 mile	Sé	Chlorides	
45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 1 Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Total dissolved solids	
45A.160 West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles 45A.161 Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres							Turbidity	
West Walker River At Stateline 0 miles Topaz Lake Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres	Walker River Basi	in						
Topaz Lake (Nevada portion) 988 acres				At Stateline	0 mile	Sé	Total suspended solids	
Total suspended solids Turbidity				Topaz Lake (Nevada portion)	988 acre	98	Total nitrogen	
Turbidity							Total suspended solids	
							Turbidity	

Table B-1. List of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water) (continued)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size	Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Walker River Basin	in						
	445A.162	West Walker River	Stateline to Wellington	16.9	16.9 miles	Chlorides	
						Total dissolved solids	
						Total nitrogen	
						Total phosphorus	
	445A.163	West Walker River	Wellington to Confluence with East Walker River	25.7 miles	miles	Chlorides	
						Total phosphorus	
	445A.164	Sweetwater Creek	Stateline to Confluence with East Walker River	8.07	8.07 miles	Total nitrates	
	445A.165	East Walker River	At Stateline	10	0 miles	Total nitrogen	
	445A.166	East Walker River	East Walker River from Bridge B-1475 to the confluence with the W. Walker	41.7	41.7 miles	Sulfate	
Colorado River Basin	asin					-	
	445A.175	Virgin River	Stateline to Mesquite	4.5	4.5 miles	Total nitrogen	

Notes:

Except as noted in the following, all data for identifying RMHQ exceedances were taken from NDEP ambient monitoring program. including Truckee River monitoring performed by Desert Research Institute and Truckee Meadows Wastewater Reclamation Facility.

Appendix C

List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation

Table C-1. List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	Notes
Black Rock Desert Region	Region					
	445A.125	Bilk Creek Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	Dissolved oxygen	NDEP	1
				pH		2
				Total phosphorus		3
	445A.121	Charleston Gulch	Below National Mine site	Metals	NDEP	
				Hd		
	445A.121	National Gulch	Below National Mine site	Metals	NDEP, USGS Open File Report 00-	
				Hd	459	
Snake River Basin						
	445A.223	East Fork Owyhee River	Mill Creek to Duck Valley Indian Copper (dissolved)	Copper (dissolved)	NDEP	
			Reservation	Iron (total)		
	445A.125	Wildhorse Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	Temperature	NDEP	1
Humboldt River Basin	sin					
	445A.126	Humboldt River	Woolsey to Rodgers Dam	Iron (total)	NDEP	
	445A.124		NF Humboldt - Confluence with Selenium (total) Sammy Creek to National Forest Boundary	Selenium (total)	AngloGold Corporation	4,5
		Creek, Water Canyon Creek)	Sammy Creek - waste rock to confluence with NF Humboldt	Selenium (total)	AngloGold Corporation	4, 5
	445A.125	South Fork Humboldt Reservoir	dt Reservoir Entire Reservoir	Temperature	NDEP	-
	445A.125	Maggie Creek	Where it is formed by tributaries to confluence with Jack Creek	Temperature	NDEP	
	445A.126	Maggie Creek	Confluence with Jack Creek to Humboldt River	Hd	NDEP, Newmont Mining Corporation	9
	445A.125	Reese River	Confluence with Indian Creek to Total dissolved solids old Highway 50	Total dissolved solids	NDEP	
	445A.126	Reese River	North of old Highway 50	Total dissolved solids Total phosphorus	NDEP	က
	445A.124	North Fork Little Humboldt River	Below Buckskin Mine site to forest boundary	Metals pH	NDEP, USFS	
	445A.126	Little Humboldt River	Entire length	Dissolved oxygen	NDEP	
				Iron (total)		
				Temperature		

Table C-1. List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation (continued)

Tributary to Humbodt Price Late At 124 Act 121 Act 124 Act 121 A	Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	Notes
5 South Fork Little Humboldt ElkoHumboldt County Line (Humboldt County Line) Incon (total) NDEP (42, 205 Above Tomera Ranch E coll and (Humboldt River) E coll and (Humboldt River) NDEP (42, 205 Tomer Creek Above Tomera Ranch E coll and (Humboldt River) NDEP (42, 205 Tomer Creek Below Buckhorn Mine Cyanide Conninco American, Inc. (42, 205 Tomer Creek Below Buckhorn Mine Acid mine drainage Interagency AML Environmental Task Force, USGS Open File Report On-459. (42, 205) Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below Historic mine site Metals EPA-HENAP (44, 205) Lucking Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals BLM Battle Mountain District (44, 205) Lucking Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals BLM Battle Mountain District (45, 4124) Lucking Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals BLM Battle Mountain District (45, 4124) Rochester Canyon (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals BLM Battle Mountain District (45, 6124) <td< td=""><td>olt River Basi</td><td>in</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	olt River Basi	in					
Filter Creek Above Tomera Ranch Commerce Manage Coon Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Buttle Canyon Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Buttle Canyon Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Report 00-459) Creeks (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Mountain District (Nacials Buttle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Min.) Below historic mine site (Nacials Buttle Min.) Below Hist		445A.125	South Fork Little Humboldt		Iron (total)	NDEP	
Food Fine Creek Above Tomera Ranch Ecoli NDEP Food			River		Total phosphorus		3
Professional Plane Creek Above Tomera Ranch E coli NDEP Total dissolved solids Total							
Figure F		Tributary to Humboldt	Pine Creek		E coli	NDEP	
Total dissolved solids Turbidity Turbidity Total suspended solids Total susp		River -445A.205		•	Iron (total)		
Total suspended solids Total solids Total suspended solids Total sol					Total dissolved solids		
Total suspended solids Total suspended solids Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity Turbidity A5A.124 45A.124 Turbidity Coan Creek Below Buckhorn Mine Cyanide Cyanide Acid mine drainage Acid mine d					Total phosphorus		8
45A.205 Willow Creek Milow Balth Milow Creek Milow Creek Milow Creek Milow Creek Milow Creek Milow Mi					Total suspended solids		
45A.205 45A.205 45A.205 40 Humboldt Abrandt Willow Creek 445A.124 40 South Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals 40 Licking Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals 41 Licking Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals 42 Butte Canyon (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals 43 Butte Canyon (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals 44 Min.) 45 Below historic mine site Metals 46 Metals 47 Min.) 48 Below historic mine site Metals 49 Metals 40 Metals 40 Metals 41 Book historic mine site Metals 41 Book historic mine site Metals 41 Book historic mine site Metals 42 Below historic mine site Metals 43 Book historic mine site Metals 44 Below historic mine site Metals 45 Below historic mine site Metals 46 Below historic mine site Metals 47 Book historic mine site Metals 48 Below historic mine site Metals 49 Below historic mine site Metals 40 Below historic mine site Metals 41 Book historic mine site Metals 42 Book historic mine site Metals 43 Book historic mine site Metals					Turbidity		
445A.124 445A.124 Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Licking Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Calena Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Metals Calena Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Calena Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Calena Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Calena Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals		Tributary to Pine Creek and Humboldt River - 445A.205	Willow Creek		Cyanide	Cominco American, Inc.	4
hetals Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Min.) Licking Creek (near Battle Min.) Butte Canyon (near Battle Min.) Butte Canyon (near Battle Min.) Butte Canyon (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Butte Canyon (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals Metals Metals Metals Metals Metals Min.) Rochester Canyon Creek (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals Metals Calena Canyon (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals Calena Canyon (near Battle Min.) Below historic mine site Metals Covelock) Creeks (near Battle Min.)		Tributary to Maggie Creek - 445A.124	Coon Creek		Acid mine drainage	Interagency AML Environmental Task Force, USGS Open File Report 00-459	
Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Licking Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Butte Canyon (near Battle Mtn.) Butte Canyon (near Battle Mtn.) Galena Canyon (near Battle Mtn.) Rochester Canyon Creek (near Below historic mine site Metals Mtn.) Rochester Canyon Creek (near Below historic mine site Metals Lovelock) Case Fork and West Fork Rock Below historic mine site Metals Creeks (near Battle Mtn.)		Tributary to South Fork Humboldt River - 445A.124	Long Canyon Creek (near Lamoille)	Mine	Metals	EPA-REMAP	
Licking Creek (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals		445A.121	Long Canyon Creek (near Battle Mtn.)		Metals	USGS Open File Report 00-459; BLM Battle Mountain District	
Butte Canyon (near Battle Mtn.) Below historic mine site Metals Galena Canyon (near Battle Mtn.) Rochester Canyon Creek (near Below historic mine site Metals Lovelock) Lovelock) East Fork and West Fork Rock Below historic mine site Metals Creeks (near Battle Mtn.)		445A.121			Metals	USGS Open File Report 00-459; BLM Battle Mountain District	
Galena Canyon (near Battle Mitn.) Galena Canyon (near Battle Below historic mine site Metals Metals Lovelock) East Fork and West Fork Rock Below historic mine site Metals Metals Creeks (near Battle Mitn.)		445.121	· Battle Mtn.)	Below historic mine site	Metals	USGS Open File Report 00-459; BLM Battle Mountain District	
Rochester Canyon Creek (near Below historic mine site Metals		445.121	ıa Canyon (ne		Metals	USGS Open File Report 00-459; BLM Battle Mountain District	
East Fork and West Fork Rock Below historic mine site Metals Creeks (near Battle Mtn.)		445.121	Creek (near		Metals	USGS Open File Report 00-459	
		445A.121			Metals	USGS Open File Report 00-459	

Table C-1. List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation (continued)

Humboldt River Basin	sin				
	Tributary to Pine Creek/Humboldt River - 445A.205	Trout Creek	Above Pine Creek	Total phosphorus	BLM - Elko District
	445A.121	Little Cottonwood Creek (near Battle Mtn.)	Below historic mine site	Metals	BLM - Battle Mountain District
	445A.121	Iron Canyon (near Battle Mtn.)	Below historic mine site	Metals	BLM - Battle Mountain District
Lake Tahoe Basin	in				
	445A.191	Lake Tahoe	At Cave Rock Monitoring Site E	DO - % of saturation Temperature	NDEP 1
				Specific electrical conductance	
				Total nitrogen	1
Truckee River Basin	asin				
	445A.126	Little Washoe Lake	Little Washoe Lake	Iron (total)	NDEP
			4	Mercury (total)	
	445A.121	Perry Canyon/Mullen Creek	Below mine site	tals	Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology
i i	-1-		4	Ld	
Carson HIVER Basin	ısın				
	445A.126	Carson River	Lahontan Reservoir to Carson L	Iron (total)	NDEP
	Tributary to Carson River - 445A.153	Brockliss Slough	Above Carson River	Fecal coliform	NDEP 7
	Tributary to Carson River - 445A.151	Indian Creek	At Stateline	Fecal coliform	South Tahoe Public Utilities District
Walker River Basin	sin				
	445A.161	Topaz Lake	Topaz Lake (Nevada portion)	Temperature	NDEP 1
	445A.166	East Walker River	East Walker River from Bridge	Iron (total)	NDEP
	445A.169	Desert Creek	Stateline to Confluence with Least Walker River	Iron (total)	NDEP
	445A.126		North Pond	Arsenic (total)	NDEP
		Management Area (Nortn Pond only)	ш	Boron (total)	
]	Dissolved oxygen	1
	445A.124	Corey Creek	Origin to point of diversion of the Total dissolved solids town of Hawthorne		NDEP
				Old Priospriords	-

Appendix C - List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation – NOT Eligible for Nevada's 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List at this time EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Table C-1. List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation (continued)

Central Region					
445A.124	Birch Creek		Iron (total)	Meridian Gold	8
		Origin to National Forest Boundary			
445A.125	Illipah Reservoir	Entire Reservoir	Hd	NDEP	2
445A.126	Comins Lake	Entire Lake	Temperature	NDEP	-
445A.121	Tybo Creek	Below mine site	Acid mine drainage	BLM, NDOW	
Colorado River Basin					
445A.192	Colorado River	Lake Mohave Inlet to CA stateline	Temperature	NDEP	+
445A.193	Colorado River	Hoover Dam to Lake Mohave inlet	Temperature	NDEP	-
			:		
445A.201	Las Vegas Wash	I elepnone Line Road to Lake Iviead	Selenium (total)	NDEP	4
			Total suspended solids	NDEP, Wash Discharger Monitoring Network	6
445A.195	Lake Mead/Las Vegas Bay	Las Vegas Bay	chlorophyll <u>a</u>	Wash Discharger Monitoring Network	10
445A.175	Virgin River	Stateline to Mesquite	Selenium (total)	NDEP	4
445A.177	Virgin River	Mesquite to Lake Mead	Selenium (total)	NDEP	4
445A.125	White River	National Forest boundary to confluence with Ellison Creek	Temperature	NDEP	
445A.126	Echo Canyon Reservoir	Entire reservoir	Iron (total)	NDEP	
			Temperature		-
445A.121	Caselton Wash	Below Caselton Tailings	Acid mine drainage	Interagency AML Environmental Task Force	

Footpote

- 1. Sampling point may not be representative of conditions for this parameter
- 2. Current pH standard is outdated and needs to be revised to 6.5 to 9.0 based upon current EPA recommendations. However, the available data show that the new pH criteria have not been met.
- 3. The phosphorus standard may not be appropriate for eutrophication control.
- 4. The 96-hour criteria was exceeded, but the 1-hour criteria was not exceeded.
- 5. A variety of biological information has been developed by US Fish and Wildlife Service, EPA and AngloGold Corporation as part of assessment activities below Big Springs Mine. However, the results of these studies are in conflict with respect to biological impairment from metals.
- 6. NDEP data shows exceedances of standard, while Newmont Mining data shows compliance with standard.
- 7. The fecal coliform criteria reads as follows: "Based on a minimum of not less than 5 samples taken over a 30-day period, the fecal coliform bacterial level may not exceed a geometric mean of 200 per 100 ml nor may more than 10 percent of the total samples taken during any 30-day period exceed 400 per 100 ml." NDEP collects 6 samples a year on the Brockliss Slough which is not frequent enough to evaluate the fecal coliform standard as written. For the Potential Problems list, NDEP dropped the 30-day time period solely for identifying possible problems needing further investigation.
- 8. Data indicates that the iron originates in the watershed upstream of the Austin Gold Venture Mine and not from the mine site.
- 9.TSS levels have improved following the construction of erosion control structures and wetlands, with minimal exceedances of the TSS standard in 2001. TSS removed from Draft 2004 Table A based on additional data received during comment period for 2004 303(d) List. Further investigation continues to be warranted.
 - onditions due to fall of Lake Mead water level since 2002 has caused a shift in the sampling locations of LM4 and LM5. Remove from Exceedance of RMHQ List (Appendix B) and place on 10. Chlorophyll a exceeded more than 10% of samples at Stations LM4 (LVB2.7) and LM5 (LVB3.5). Based upon data collected by Las Vegas Wash Discharger Monitoring Network. Further Investigation List (Appendix C) to continue evaluating samples for chlorophyll a based on new site location criteria in Las Vegas Bay.

Appendix D

List of Delisted Waterbodies

From

Final 2002 303(d) List

Table D-1. Delisted Waterbodies (Incl. in Table A: 2002 303(d) List)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size	Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Data Sources	Notes
Snake River Basin								
								1
Humboldt River Basin	u							
								1
Lake Tahoe Basin								
								1
Truckee River Basin								
								1
Carson River Basin								
								1
Walker River Basin		ı						
								1
Central Region		ı						
								1
Colorado River Basin	,							
	454A.201	Las Vegas Wash	Telephone Line Road to Lake Mead 5.12		miles	Total suspended solids		1,2

Footnotes:

^{1.} Station data compiled in analysis reports for the 2004 303(d) List continue to be compared with parameter listings compiled by the 2002 303(d) List. This list is subject to change in FINAL 2004 303(d).

2. TSS levels have improved following the construction of erosion control structures and wetlands, with minimal exceedances of the TSS standard in 2001. TSS removed from Draft 2004 Table A based on additional data received during comment period for 2004 303(d) List. Further investigation continues to be warranted.

Table D-1. DeList of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	NAC Reference Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Snake River Basin	u					
			Above stateline	37.2 miles	Fecal coliform	
	445A.218	East Fork Jarbidge River	Above stateline	18.6 miles	Fecal coliform	
	445A.219	Jarbidge River	Source to Town of Jarbidge	7.44 miles	Total phosphorus	
Humboldt River Basin	in					
	445A.203	Humboldt River	Origin to Osino	66.12 miles	Hd	
	445A.204	Humboldt River	Osino to Palisade	64.39 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
	445A.205	Humboldt River	Palisade to Battle Mtn	76.5 miles	Hd	
	445A.206	Humboldt River	Battle Mtn to Comus	81.36 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
					Total dissolved solids	
	445A.207	Humboldt River	Comus to Imlay	114.09 miles	Chlorides	
					Hd	
	445A.208	Humboldt River	Imlay to Woosley	44.42 miles	Total dissolved solids	
Lake Tahoe Basin	ı					
	445A.1917	1st Creek	Origin to Lake Tahoe	1.8 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	2nd Creek	2nd Creek Drive to Lake Tahoe	0.45 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	2nd Creek	Origin to 2nd Creek Drive	2 miles	Hd	
					Total nitrogen	
	445A.1917	3rd Creek	Lake Tahoe to EF 3rd Creek at Highway 431 and	0.31 miles	Chlorides	
			Wr sta creek Origin		Total dissolved solids	

Table D-1. DeList of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water) (continued)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody ID NAC Reference Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size Units	Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Lake Tahoe Basin	u						
	445A.1917	WF Incline Creek	Origin to Highway 431	3.11 miles	S	Chlorides	
					Hd		
					ΙĖ	Total dissolved solids	
					ΙĖ	Total nitrogen	
					<u> </u>	Turbidity	
	445A.1917	EF Incline Creek	Ski resort to Origin	4.66 miles	Hd		
					Ĭ	Fotal nitrogen	
	445A.1917	Incline Creek	Lake Tahoe to EF Incline Creek at ski resort and	0.19 miles	0	Chlorides	
			to WF incline Creek at Highway 431		<u>P</u>		
					<u> </u>	Total nitrogen	
Truckee River Basin	ısin						
	445A.185	Truckee River	Stateline to Idlewild	15.7 miles		Total nitrogen	
	445A.186	Truckee River	Idlewild to East McCarran	6.25 miles		Total nitrogen	
	445A.188	Truckee River	Lockwood to Derby Dam	15.15 miles	Ē	Turbidity	
Carson River Basin	sin						
	445A.147	WF Carson River	At Stateline	0 miles	Hd	- F	
					Ĕ	Fotal nitrogen	
					Ţ	Total phosphorus	
	445A.148	Bryant Creek	Near Stateline	0 miles	T	Total nitrogen	
					Ė	Total phosphorus	
	445A.150	EF Carson River	Stateline to Highway 395	10.48 miles	Hd		
					Ĕ	Fotal dissolved solids	
					Ť.	Total nitrogen	

Table D-1. DeList of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water) (continued)

Carson River Basin 445A.151 EF Carson River 445A.152 EF/WF Carson River 445A.153 Carson River 445A.155 Carson River 445A.156 Carson River 445A.157 Carson River	on River	Highway 395 to Muller Lane Genoa Lane to EF Carson River at Muller Lane and to WF Carson River at Stateline Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	10.53 miles 15.82 miles 5.88 miles 6.34 miles 7.82 miles	pH Total nitrogen PH Total dissolved solids Chlorides PH Total dissolved solids PH Total dissolved solids PH PH PH PH PH PH	
	iver	Highway 395 to Muller Lane Genoa Lane to EF Carson River at Muller Lane and to WF Carson River at Stateline Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	10.53 miles 15.82 miles 5.88 miles 6.34 miles 7.82 miles	PH Total nitrogen PH Total dissolved solids Chlorides PH Total dissolved solids Sulfate DH	
	on River	Genoa Lane to EF Carson River at Muller Lane and to WF Carson River at Stateline Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	15.82 miles 5.88 miles 6.34 miles 7.82 miles	Total nitrogen PH Total dissolved solids Chlorides PH Total dissolved solids Sulfate PH Sulfate	
	on River	Genoa Lane to EF Carson River at Muller Lane and to WF Carson River at Stateline Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	15.82 miles 5.88 miles 6.34 miles 7.82 miles	Total dissolved solids Chlorides PH Total dissolved solids Sulfate DH	
		and to WF Carson River at Stateline Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	5.88 miles 6.34 miles 7.82 miles	Total dissolved solids Chlorides PH Total dissolved solids Sulfate PH	
		Genoa Lane to Cradlebaugh Bridge Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	5.88 miles 6.34 miles 7.82 miles	Chlorides PH Total dissolved solids Sulfate DH	
		Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	6.34 miles 7.82 miles	PH Total dissolved solids Sulfate DH	
		Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	6.34 miles 7.82 miles	Total dissolved solids Sulfate DH	
		Cradlebaugh Bridge to Mexican Ditch Gage Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	6.34 miles 7.82 miles	Sulfate	
		Mexican Ditch Gage to New Empire New Empire to Dayton Bridge	7.82 miles	Ha	
		New Empire to Dayton Bridge			
			16.82 miles	Chlorides	
				Hd	
				Turbidity	
		Dayton Bridge to Weeks	25.5 miles	Chlorides	
				Fecal coliform	
_				Hd	
				Turbidity	
445A.158 Carson River		Weeks to Lahontan Dam	29.17 miles	Chlorides	
				Total dissolved solids	
				Turbidity	
Walker River Basin					
445A.160 West Walker River		At Stateline	0 miles	Total suspended solids	
445A.161 Topaz Lake	T	Topaz Lake (Nevada portion)	988 acres	Total nitrogen	
				Total suspended solids	
				Turbidity	

Table D-1. DeList of Waterbodies with Exceedances of RMHQs (Requirements to Maintain Higher Quality Water) (continued)

Waterbody ID	NAC Reference	Waterbody Name	Reach Description	Size	Units	Pollutant or Stressor of Concern	Notes
Walker River Basin	in						
	445A.162	West Walker River	Stateline to Wellington	16.9	16.9 miles	Chlorides	
						Total dissolved solids	
						Total nitrogen	
						Total phosphorus	
	445A.163	West Walker River	Wellington to Confluence with East Walker River	25.7	25.7 miles	Chlorides	
						Total phosphorus	
	445A.164	Sweetwater Creek	Stateline to Confluence with East Walker River	8.07	8.07 miles	Total nitrates	
	445A.165	East Walker River	At Stateline	0	0 miles	Total nitrogen	
	445A.166	East Walker River	East Walker River from Bridge B-1475 to the confluence with the W. Walker	41.7	41.7 miles	Sulfate	
Colorado River Basin	asin						
	445A.175	Virgin River	Stateline to Mesquite	4.5	4.5 miles	Total nitrogen	

Notes:

Except as noted in the following, all data for identifying RMHQ exceedances were taken from NDEP ambient monitoring program. including Truckee River monitoring performed by Desert Research Institute and Truckee Meadows Wastewater Reclamation Facility.

Appendix E

Summary of NDEP Monitoring Program

Summary of NDEP Monitoring Program

Introduction

State Requirements:

The State must conduct a water quality monitoring program in order to evaluate the quality of the waters of the State. This evaluation is necessary in order to determine if the quality of the waters of the State are suitable for the beneficial uses associated with them. This monitoring strategy has been developed in order to describe the manner in which the State intends to comply with EPA's monitoring requirements.

Federal Requirements:

A monitoring program is needed so the EPA can assess the State's progress towards the goals of P.L. 92-500.

State Authority:

The State authority for conducting a monitoring program is contained in Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 445.214 and 445.216.

Federal Authority:

In order for the State to receive a Federal Grant for a water pollution control program, it must operate an appropriate monitoring program on the quality of the navigable bodies of water in the State (PL 92-500; Section 106(e)).

Monitoring Program

The Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) surface water monitoring network is described in Tables E-1 and E-2. Table E-1 lists the parameters analyzed in the monitoring program. The monitoring network started with the one contained in the State's plan of implementation which was adopted in 1967. Modifications were made and are continuing to be made to reflect review of the data base, recognize resource constraints and to coordinate and utilize other government agencies monitoring activities. The selection of the stations in the monitoring network are based on land use, water quality, hydro modifications and topography. The monitoring network is used to assess compliance with water quality standards, conduct trend analysis, validate water quality models and set total maximum daily loads (TMDL's). The data are also used to conduct nonpoint source assessments, compile the 303(d) List, 208 Plan Amendments, and compile the 305(b) report.

Table E-2 lists the sampling sites, frequency and STORET number of the routine monitoring network. The Bureau of Water Quality Planning samples other waters as needed for evaluating standards, developing nonpoint source assessment, and other special projects.

Table E-1

List of parameters analyzed in NDEP's routine monitoring network

Conventional Pollutants

Total Dissolved Solids Total Suspended Solids Electrical Conductivity

Turbidity Color pH - field pH - lab Temperature

Alkalinity (CaCO₃) Bicarbonate (CaCO₃) Carbonate (CO₃) Carbonate (CaCO₃) Kjeldahl-N

Metals (total and filtered)

Cadmium Zinc

Chromium Arsenic Copper Boron Iron Selenium Mercury Lead

Conventional Pollutants

Nitrate-NO₃ Nitrate-N Nitrite-N Ammonia-N Total Nitrogen

Ortho - Phosphorus-P Total Phosphorus-P

Chloride
COD
BOD
Sulfate
Calcium
Magnesium
Sodium

Hardness (CaCO₃) Sodium Absorption Ratio

Bacteriology
Fecal Coliform
Fecal Streptococcus

E. Coliform

	able E-2 Itine Monitoring Netw	ork ork	
RIVER SYSTEM	Frequency Time/Year Agency	NDEP Station Number	STORET Number
WALKER RIVER SYSTEM			
Walker River at Wabuska	6 NDEP	W4	310030
Walker River at Schurz Bridge	6 NDEP	WSB	310127
Walker River at Mason Gage	6 NDEP	W9	310117
E.Walker River at Nordyke Road	6 NDEP	W3	310029
W.Walker River at Nordyke Road	6 NDEP	W4	310026
E.Walker River at the Elbow	6 NDEP	EFE	310109
E.Walker River at Ivy Ranch	6 NDEP	EF5	310112
W.Walker River at Hudson Gage	6 NDEP	W7	310118
E.Walker River at Stateline	6 NDEP	EFS	310028
W.Walker River at Topaz Lane	6 NDEP	W5	310023
W.Walker at Wellington	6 NDEP	W10	310025
Topaz Lake	6 NDEP	TOP	310024
Desert Creek	6 NDEP	DC	310033
Sweetwater Creek	6 NDEP	SWC	310027
Walker Lake at Sportsmans Beach	6 NDEP	WL	310652
HUMBOLDT RIVER SYSTEM			
Mary□s River	6 NDEP	HS1	310087
N.F. Humboldt River at I-80	6 NDEP	HS2B	310188
N.F. Humboldt River at N.F. Ranch	6 NDEP	HS15	310585
N.F. Humboldt River at Taco Tunnel	6 NDEP	HS16	310584
Humboldt River at Osino Cutoff	6 NDEP	HS4	310080
S.F. Humboldt River below Dixie Cr	6 NDEP	HS3A	310089
Humboldt River near Carlin Bridge	6 NDEP	HS5	310081
Humboldt River near Palisade	6 NDEP	HS6	310082
Humboldt River at Battle Mountain	6 NDEP	HS7	310083
Humboldt River at Comus	6 NDEP	HS8	310084
Humboldt River near Imlay	6 NDEP	HS9	310085
Toulon Drain	6 NDEP	HS10	310091
Humboldt River near Humboldt Sink	6 NDEP	HS12	310086
Pine Creek	6 NDEP	HS13	310582
Maggie Creek	6 NDEP	HS14	310583
South Fork Reservoir	6 NDEP	SFR	310587
D 1 D D 1 D 1	(NIDED	TIC	

6 NDEP

H6

Below Rye Patch Reservoir

310079

Table I List of NDEP's Routine		ork	
RIVER SYSTEM	Frequency Time/Year Agency	NDEP Station Number	STORET Number
COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM			
Colorado River at Willow Beach Colorado River at Laughlin Las Vegas Wash above Lake Las Vegas Virgin River at Riverside Bridge Virgin River at Mesquite Muddy River at Glendale Muddy River near Overton Muddy River above Reid Gardner LAKE TAHOE TRIBUTARIES	4 NDEP	CL2 CL1 CL3 CL6A CL6 CL4 CL11 MARG	310054 310055 310070 310032 310037 310071 310095
First Creek at Dale & Knotty Pine First Creek at Lakeshore Drive Second Creek at Second Creek Dr. Second Creek at Lakeshore Drive Wood Creek at Lakeshore Drive E.F. Third Creek at Hwy 27 Third Creek at Lakeshore Drive W.F. Incline Creek at Hwy 27 Incline Creek at Lakeshore Drive Lake Tahoe at Sand Harbor E.F. Incline Creek below Diamond Peak Lake Tahoe at Cave Rock	6 NDEP	1A 1B 2A 2B WO EF3A 3B WFINCA INCL SH EFINCA CR	310056 310057 310058 310059 310061 310063 310064 310065 310067 310128 310066 310588
SNAKE RIVER SYSTEM			
E.F. Owyhee River below Slaughterhouse Creek E.F. Owyhee River below Mill Creek Mill Creek near Patsville E.F. Owyhee River above Mill Creek W.F. Bruneau River at Mind Ranch W.F. Jarbidge River below Jarbidge W.F. Jarbidge River above Jarbidge E.F. Jarbidge River above Murphys Salmon Falls Creek at Hwy 93 Shoshone Creek Wildhorse Reservoir at Pier Below Wildhorse Reservoir	4 NDEP	E16 E15 E14 E4 E5 E6 E7 E11 E8 E9 E13 E12	310591 310047 310046 310045 310044 310043 310041 310042 310589 310586

Table E-2 (Continued) List of NDEP□s Routine Monitoring Network

RIVER SYSTEM	Frequency Time/Year Agency	NDEP Station Number	STORET Number
TRUCKEE RIVER SYSTEM			
Truckee River at Farad	12 DRI	T1	310000
Truckee River at Circle C Ranch	12 DRI	T7	310092
Truckee River at Idlewild	12 DRI	T2	310001
Truckee River at McCarran Bridge	12 DRI	T3	310002
Truckee River at Vista Gage	12 DRI	T4A	310006
Truckee River at Tracy	12 DRI	T5	310004
Truckee River at Wadsworth	12 DRI	T6	310005
Truckee River at Nixon	12 DRI	T10	310514
North Truckee Drain	12 DRI	T9	310513
Steamboat Creek above WWTP	12 DRI	T8	310502
(above are sampled by DRI and Truckee MeadowsWastewater Reclamation Facility)			
CARSON RIVER SYSTEM			
W.F. Carson near Paynesville	6 NDEP	C8	310008
E.F. Carson at Riverview	6 NDEP	C9	310011
E.F. Carson at Hwy 88	6 NDEP	C16	310152
E.F. Carson at Muller	6 NDEP	C15	310093
Brockliss Slough at Muller Lane	6 NDEP	C5	310060
W.F. Carson at Muller Lane	6 NDEP	C14	310165
Carson at Genoa Lane	6 NDEP	C3	310013
Carson at Cradlebaugh Bridge	6 NDEP	C2	310014
Carson at Mexican Gage	6 NDEP	C13	310167
Carson at New Empire Bridge	6 NDEP	C1	310015
Carson at Dayton Bridge	6 NDEP	C11	310022
Carson at Weeks Bridge	6 NDEP	C10	310016
Truckee Canal at Hwy 50	6 NDEP	C22	310510
Carson below Lahontan Dam	6 NDEP	C18	310106
Bryant Creek at Doud Springs	6 NDEP	BCU	310592
Daggett Creek at Foothill Roak	6 NDEP	C23	310007

Table E-2 (Continued) List of NDEP□s Routine Monitoring Network

RIVER SYSTEM	Frequency Time/Year Agency	NDEP Station Number	STORET Number
STEAMBOAT CREEK SYSTEM			
Little Washoe Outfall	6 NDEP-WCCP*	SB1	310200
Steamboat Creek at Pleasant Valley	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB3	310201
Galena Creek	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB4	310202
Steamboat Creek at Rhodes Road	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB5	310203
Steamboat Ditch	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB6	310204
Steamboat Creek at Geiger Grade	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB7	310205
Whites Creek	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB8	310206
Thomas Creek	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB10	310207
Steamboat Creek at Short Lane	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB11	310208
Alexander Ditch	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB12	310209
Rio Poco Drain	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB14	310210
Boynton Slough	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB16	310211
Steamboat Creek near Pembroke Lane	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB17	310212
Yori Drain	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB18	310213
Steamboat Creek at Clean Water Way	6 NDEP-WCCP	SB19	310214
*Washoe County Comprehensive Planning			

Appendix F

Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 303(d) List

[This compilation is the basis of the five-year database for the period

January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2001.]

Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 303(d) List

As presented in Appendix F, the NDEP monitoring network was a major data source for the listing analyses. In addition to NDEP monitoring data, the primary water chemistry data sources that were either compiled by NDEP or submitted to NDEP, and were used to evaluated for inclusion on the 303(d) List were:

• U.S. Geological Survey

The main U.S. Geological Survey data used in the listing analysis included water quality data for the Humboldt River, and Lake Tahoe tributaries. Data sets for these areas covered a number of years throughout the 1997-2001 period and met the minimum data requirements.

• Desert Research Institute

DRI collects Truckee River water quality data inconjunction with NDEP's monitoring network..

• University of Nevada, Reno

UNR has studied mercury levels in Steamboat and confirms mercury impairment identified with NDEP data.

• Tahoe Research Group – U.C. Davis

Tahoe Research Group collects data for a variety of parameters – clarity, nutrients, sediment. The light extinction data were used to list Lake Tahoe for clarity.

• Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility

TMWRF collects extensive Truckee River water quality data with grab samples and physical characteristics with Hydrolabs. All these data were evaluated in the listing analyses.

• City of Las Vegas, Clark County Sanitation District and City of Henderson

These three entities operate wastewater treatment facilities which discharge into the Las Vegas Wash. Extensive data collected by these entities were evaluated.

• U.S. Bureau of Land Management

BLM – Elko District submitted continuous temperature data on the South Fork Owyhee River

• South Tahoe Public Utilities District

Nutrient data collected by STPUD on the lower reaches of Indian Creek were evaluated.

• Leviathan Mine Database (multiple sources)

Superfund contractors are developing a comprehensive database of water quality data associated with the Leviathan Mine site and area. These data were evaluated in the listing process.

Nevada Bureau of Mining Regulation and Reclamation

The Bureau of Mining maintains files of discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) submitted by various mining operations in accordance with permit requirements. These data were evaluated for listing purposes.

Other information used in listing waterbodies included:

• Health Advisory

The State Health Division has issued a health advisory based upon studies performed by NDEP and the Division of Wildlife that a public health problem exists from eating fish from the Carson River from Dayton to the Lahontan Dam and all waters in the Lahontan Valley. Elevated levels of mercury have been identified in gamefish and carp from these waters. This advisory was used as the basis for listing these waters.

• Carson River Mercury Superfund Site

A portion of the Carson River is designated as a superfund site due to elevated mercury levels. The Carson River Mercury Site consists of: 1) sediments in an approximately 50-mile stretch of the Carson River in Lyon and Churchill Counties, beginning between Carson City and Dayton, Nevada, and extending downstream through the Lahontan Reservoir to Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge; and 2) tailing piles associated with the river. This designation was used as the basis for listing these waters.

• Walker Lake

In 2002, EPA approved the beneficial uses and criteria promulgated by the State of Nevada for Walker Lake. The propagation of aquatic life was included as one of the beneficial uses. While the standards do not include numeric criteria for TDS, the Nevada Division of Wildlife has shown that TDS levels have impaired the aquatic life beneficial use. NDOW found that hatchery Lahontan Cutthroat Trout experienced high death rates upon release into the high TDS waters of Walker Lake. In the mid-1990s, the Nevada Division of Wildlife began acclimating the hatchery trout in high TDS water prior to releasing into Walker Lake. While this acclimation process has improved initial fish survival, the health and lifespan of the LCT and its food sources are impaired due to the elevated TDS levels. Increasing TDS concentrations have caused significant biological changes in Walker Lake, including a reduction in biological diversity and the extinction of at least one zooplankton species. The declining water quality is also directly related to the loss of native species of fish (Tahoe sucker, Lahontan redside shiner, Additionally, the 2002 305(b) Report identified Walker Lake as "Not Lahontan speckled dace). Supporting". Sources include: "Walker Lake Limnological Report, 1995-1996", Horne & Beutel, UC Berkeley, 1997; Communications with M. Sevon, Nevada Division of Wildlife, various years; Written communications with Robert Williams, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, October 29, 2001.

Following is a description of other data and information that were used to place waterbodies on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation":

• NDEP Monitoring Data

In addition to the ambient monitoring networks, NDEP has been monitoring Class Waters as part of its review of the Class Water regulations. In some instances, the data did not meet the minimum data size requirements but suggested that additional investigation was warranted.

• "Hydrogeochemical Data for Historic Mining Areas, Humboldt Watershed and Adjacent Areas, Northern Nevada", J. Thomas Nash, U.S. Geological Survey, Open File Report 00-459, 2000.

The document contains water quality information for a variety of parameters for 131 sites in Northern Nevada. NDEP has reviewed these data and there is a significant problem associated with using these data for listing decisions. In general, each site was sampled only once during the 1996-2000 period with no sample dates provided in the datasets. Under the 303(d) Methodology, more than one sample is generally needed to make listing decisions, unless other information supports listings. For this report, data from OFR 00-459 was used to identify potential problems in need of additional monitoring.

• "Water Quality at Inactive and Abandoned Mines in Nevada", Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Open File Report 95-4, 1995.

This reports presents their water quality findings for a number of inactive and abandoned mines throughout Nevada. While the Crown Prince adit has been identified as one of worst adit discharges in the state with high metals and low pH levels, no water quality data for Perry Canyon itself could be located in NDEP/BMRR's files. As part of a 1995 report, Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology provides water quality for one sample taken from the Crown Prince adit discharge. No samples were available for Perry Canyon Creek or Mullen Creek. Therefore, Perry Canyon Creek was placed on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation".

• Nevada Abandoned Mine Lands Report, Interagency Abandoned Mine Land Environmental Task Force, September 1999

This report provided qualitative information on abandoned mines that were in need of remediation and was used to identify waterbodies warranting further investigations.

• "Phoenix Project, Final Environmental Impact Statement", U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 2002

Surface water data collected for the EIS were for the years 1995 and 1996, therefore the data were outside the 1997-2001 period considered for the List. Also, there were typically only 3 or fewer samples collected at one site. Data from the EIS show some exceedances of metal standards but because of the limited data and data age, creeks in this area were placed on the draft Potential Problems list.

• North Fork Humboldt River and Tributaries

A variety of studies have generated data and information regarding the health of the North Fork Humboldt River and tributaries in the Big Springs Mine area:

"Preliminary Assessment of Potential Impacts of Drainage Associated with the Big Springs Mine to Aquatic Organisms in the North Fork Humboldt River, Elko County, Nevada", U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1998.

"Fish Population Survey of the North Fork Humboldt River, Elko County, Nevada, 1999", Chadwick Ecological Consultants, Inc., 2000.

"Benthic Macroinvertebrate Monitoring of the North Fork Humboldt River, Elko County, Nevada, 1999", Chadwick Ecological Consultants, Inc., 2000.

EPA's Regional Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (REMAP)

Upon examination of these reports, no clear cut finding of impairment for selenium can be found for certain reaches of the North Fork Humboldt River (Sammy Creek to forest boundary) and Sammy Creek (below the wasterock). Based upon "Guidelines for Interpretation of the Biological Effects of Selected Constituents in Biota, Water and Sediment", National Irrigation Water Quality Program Information Report No. 3, US Dept. of the Interior, November 1998, examination of selenium levels in the water, sediment and fish tissues shows both exceedances and compliances with the toxicity thresholds, and suggests that further investigations are needed. Therefore, both of these reaches were placed on the "List of Waterbodies Warranting Further Investigation."

• EPA's Regional Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (REMAP)

EPA submitted data associated with the Nevada REMAP project including water and sediment chemistry, fish tissue and macroinvertebrate data. However, the datasets were generally restricted to 1 sample per site during the 1997-2001 period. While suitable for regional analysis, NDEP requires more than 1 sample to determine impairment at the local level regardless of the type of sample (water, sediment, tissue, macroinvertebrate). However these data were evaluated to identify waterbodies warranting further investigation by comparing REMAP data the threshold values provided in "Guidelines for Interpretation of the Biological Effects of Selected Constituents in Biota, Water and Sediment", National Irrigation Water Quality Program Information Report No. 3, US Dept. of the Interior, November 1998. As described above, the REMAP data were evaluated inconjunction with other data on the North Fork Humboldt River.

• Other Data

Miscellaneous water quality data (collected by NDEP, U.S. Forest Service, BLM) were submitted or compiled for some sites throughout the state. As the datasets were limited to 1 sample, they were used for identifying waterbodies warranted further investigations.

Stations Providing Data for the Evaluation of the 2004 303(d) List

[This compilation is the basis of the seven-year database for the period

October 1, 1997 to September 30, 2003.]

NDEP Data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies' data, contact BWQP at (775) 687-9444.

PDF Reports of Parameter Standard Values Exceeded are available for downloading from the NDEP BWQP Standards website at: http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/standard.htm and pointing to CWA 303(d) List for the 2004 documents.

NAC 445A. 124
Basin Use 124
Reach Use i-flow

Station

STORET Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	Station Id	Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Carson River				
08-CAR-05-T02-A 08-CAR-01-T02-A	310007	C23 CLE-2	Daggett Creek @ Foothill Road Clear Creek @ Gade	NDEP NDEP
Central		 - -		
10-CEN-004-T1A		BCL	Birch Creek (Lower) 3 miles up Birch Creek Road	NDEP
10-CEN-004-T2A		KCU	Kingston Creek (Upper) @ Guard Station	NDEP
10-CEN-4-T3-1-A		NT1	North Fork Twin River at Trailhead	NDEP
10-CEN-5-T1-2-A		PC1	Pine Creek above Campground	NDEP
10-CEN-4-T3-2-A		ST1	South Fork Twin River at Trailhead	NDEP
Colorado River				
13-1-T2-1-1-A		WHT-1	White River Upper @ Pionic Area W of Forest Service Boundary	NDEP
Great Salt Lake				
11-GSL-301-T01A		SIL-1	Silver Creek S of National Forest Boundary	NDEP
Humboldt River				
04-HUM-01-T7-1A		BIG-1	Big Creek @ E. Edge of Big Creek Campground	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T7-1B		BIGCU	Big Creek (Upper)	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T11-A		LAM-1	Lamoille Creek @ Gage	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T7-2A		LEW-1	Lewis Creek Before Water Supply Intake	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T6-2A		NFLH	North Fork Little Humboldt near Holloway Meadows	NDEP
04HUM-1-T7-3-1A		SJC	San Juan Creek	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T12-A		TABOR	Tabor Creek above BLM Campground	NDEP

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page 1 of 34

7
O
V
5
4
4
C
_
N
_

i-lake 124 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

nomic				
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	STORETId	Station Id	Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Steamboat Creek				
06-STE-01-T04-A	310207	SB10	Thomas Creek	NDEP
06-S1E-H01-103A 06-STE-01-T03-A	310206	SB36 SB8	Ophir Creek @ old 395 Whites Creek	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
Walker River				
09-WAL-L02-T01A		COR-1	Corey Creek @ Gate	NDEP
NAC 445A. 125				
Basin Use 125				
Reach Use n				
Station				
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	STORETIA	Station Id	Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Colorado River				
13-T2-1-1-1-2-A		SUN-1	Sunnyside Creek	NDEP
Humboldt River				
04-HUM-03-T01-A		오	Huntington Creek 4.2 miles Upstream of Bridge	NDEP
04-HUM-02-03	310188	HS2B	N. Fork Humboldt @ I-80	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T6-2B		NFLH#2	North Fork Little Humboldt above Greeley Crossing	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T6-1A		SFLH-1	S. Fork Little Humboldt	NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-7

Page 2 of 34

NDEP NDEP NDEP

Whites Creek @ Hwy 395 Whites Creek near Alexander Pond

Whites Creek

SB20 SB28 SB29 SB29

06-STE-01-T11-A 06-STE-01-T11-B 06-STE-01-T11-C

Steamboat Creek

NAC 445A.125
Basin Use 125
Reach Use t Reach Use

Station

STORET Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	Station Id	Station Name S	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Black Rock Desert				
02-QUI-R01-01		BIL-2	Bilk Creek Reservoir	NDEP
Carson River				
08-CAR-01-T02-B		CLE-3	Clear Creek Lower	NDEP
		CVCC	Clear Creek @ Bigelow Road	CVCD
Central				
10-CEN-004-T2B		KCL	Kingston Creek (Lower)	NDEP
Colorado River				
13-CO-1-T2-2-1A		CLOV-1	Clover Creek @ Barday	NDEP
13-1-T2-1-1-B		WHT-2	White River Lower @ Hwy 6	NDEP
Humboldt River				
04-HUM-01-T7-1C		BIGCL	Big Creek (Lower)	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T01-B	310087	HS1	Mary's River	NDEP
04-HUM-02-02		HS15	N. Fork Humboldt @ N. Fork Ranch	NDEP
04-HUM-02-01		HS16	N. Fork Humboldt @ Burrito Tunnel	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T02-B		HS17	Maggie Creek above Jacks Creek	NDEP
04-HUM-03-01		HS3A	S. Fork Humboldt Below Dixie Creek	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T01-A	310590	HSB	Mary's River near Deeth	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T6-3A		MCL	Martin Creek (Lower) above Paradise Valley	NDEP
04-HUM-02-04		NFI	North Fork Humboldt River above Haystack Ranch	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T07-A		REE-1	Reese River Upper @ Old Highway 50	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T07-C		HR1	Reese River below Yomba Indian Reservation	NDEP
04-HUM-03-02		SF1	South Fork Humboldt River below Hwy 228	NDEP
04-HUM-R01-01	310587	SFR	South Fork Reservoir	NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page 3 of 34

NAC 445A. 125
Basin Use 125 Reach Use

Station

STORET Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station N	Station Id	lame	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Lake Studies				
10-LAKE-L01-01	910002	CAV	Cave Lake	NDEP
13-LAKE-R02-01		DAC	Dacey Reservoir	NDEP
13-LAKE-R06-01		EV	Eagle Valley Reservoir	NDEP
13-LAKE-R06-02		EVH	Eagle Valley Reservoir - Hypolimnion	NDEP
13-LAKE-R04-01		ΣH	Hay Meadows Reservoir	NDEP
10-LAKE-R01-01	910001	긜	Illipah Reservoir	NDEP
Snake River Drainage	40			
03-SNA-50-T03-A		CAMP	Camp Creek below Humboldt National Forest Boundary	NDEP
03-SNA-R01-01	310589	E13	Wildhorse Reservoir @ Pier	NDEP
Steamboat Creek				
06-STE-R01-T02A		FC-1	Franktown Creek	NDEP
06-STE-R01-T04B		SB34	Davis Lake	NDEP
Truckee River				
06-TRU-01-T03-A		HCU	Hunter Creek (Upper) below Hunter Creek Pond	NDEP
06-TRU-01-T03-B		SB27	Hunter Creek @ Gage	NDEP

Page 4 of 34

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for

NAC 445A.126 Basin Use 126 Reach Use n

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id	STORETIA	Station Id	STORET Id Station Id Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Carson River				
08-CAR-01-07	310106	C18	Below Lahontan Dam	NDEP
08-CAR-01-08		C26	Lower Carson River @ Sheckler Road	NDEP
Humboldt River				
04-HUM-01-T02-A	310583	HS14	Maggie Creek @ SR 221	NDEP
04-HUM-01-09		HS18	Humboldt River above Lovelock	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T06-B		LH#3	Little Humboldt above the Hot Springs	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T06-A		LHM-1	Little Humboldt River @ Gage	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T07-B		REE-2	Reese River Lower @ Fish Creek Road	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T08-A		ROC-1	Rock Creek @ Gage	NDEP
Lake Studies				
13-LAKE-L01-01		NES	Nesbitt Lake	NDEP
06-LAKE-R01-01		TC1	Tracy Ponds - Large	NDEP
06-LAKE-R02-01		TC2	Tracy Ponds - Small	NDEP
Steamboat Creek				
06-STE-01-01	310200	SB1	Little Washoe Outfall	NDEP
06-STE-01-02	310201	SB3	Pleasant Valley	NDEP
06-STE-01-T10-A		SB30	Galena Creek @ Callahan Bridge	NDEP
06-STE-01-03	310203	SB5	Rhodes Road	NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page 5 of 34

NAC 445A. 126
Basin Use 126
Reach Use t

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station N	Station Id	ame	Sampling
(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	$(Pre\ 1999)$			Agency
Lake Studies				
10-LAKE-L02-01	910000	COM	Comins Lake	NDEP
13-LAKE-R05-01		EC	Echo Canyon Reservoir	NDEP
Steamboat Creek				
06-STE-01-T01-A	310202	SB4	Galena Creek	NDEP
Walker River				
09-WAL-R01-01		MVNP	Mason Valley Wildlife Area North Pond	NDEP

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Page F-11

Page 6 of 34

127	127	⊏
445A.	Use	Use
AC	asin L	each l

Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

nonne				
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	STORET Id	Station Id	Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Central				
		GLC-1	Gleason Creek	NDEP
Humboldt River				
04-HUM-01-07	310086	HS12	Above Humboldt Sink	NDEP
Lake Studies				
08-CAR-R01-01		SWPR-1	Stillwater Point Reservoir near Outlet Canal	NDEP
Steamboat Creek				
06-STE-01-05	310208	SB11	Short Lane	NDEP
06-STE-01-06	310212	SB17	Pembroke	NDEP
06-STE-01-07	310214	SB19	Clean Water Way	NDEP
06-STE-01-04	310205	SB7	Geiger Grade	NDEP
Truckee River				
06-TRU-01-T01-A	310502	T8	Steamboat Cr above WWTP	NDEP
NAC 445A. 147				
Basin Use 146				
Station				
STORET Id	STORET Id Station Id	Station Id	Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998)	$(Pre\ 1999)$			Agency
Carson River				
08-CAR-05-01	310008	0.08 CV8	West Fork @ Paynesville West Fork @ Woodfords Gage	NDEP
				1

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page F-12

Page 7 of 34

14	
5A.	
445	
Ú	
Y	

146 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id (Pre 1999) STORET Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP

(Post 1998)

Bryant Creek Above Carson Bryant Creek @ Doud Springs BCAC BCU Carson River

310592 08-CAR-04-T01-B 08-CAR-04-T01-A

NAC 445A. 150

146

89

Reach Use Basin Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORETIA STORET Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP NDOW NDOW NDOW

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Carson River

08-CAR-04-04 08-CAR-04-05 08-CAR-04-01

C9 EFAB EFBB 310011

East Fork @ Riverview
East Fork Above Bryant
East Fork Below Bryant
East Fork Carson @ Above Bryant Creek
East Fork Carson @ Apple Orchard
East Fork Carson @ Above Ruhenstroth Dam NDOWAB NDOWAO NDOWRD

Page 8 of 34

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

NAC 445A. 151

146 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

East Fork @ Williams Slough East Fork @ Hwy 88 East Fork @ Highway 88 East Fork @ Washoe Bridge USFS Take Out Above Swimmers East Fork Carson @ Luthern Bridge Station Name Station Id C15 C16 CV88 CVWB NDOWLB STORET Id (Pre 1999) 310093 310152 STORET Id (Post 1998) 08-CAR-04-03 08-CAR-04-02 Carson River

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP CVCD CVCD NDOW

NAC 445A. 152

146 Basin Use

Reach Use

짫

Station

Station Id STORET Id (Pre 1999) STORET Id (Post 1998)

Station Name

310165 310013 08-CAR-05-02 08-CAR-01-01 Carson River

Genoa Lane West Fork @ Dressler Lane West Fork @ Muller Lane

C14 C3 CVDL

NDEP NDEP CVCD

Sampling Agency

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page F-14

Page 9 of 34

A. 153	146	
445,	Use	
NAC	Basin	

g Reach Use

Station

T.Id Station Id Station Name	STORET Id STORET IG	STORET Id
		700

(Fre 1999) (FOST 1998)

Carson River

310014 08-CAR-01-02

Cradlebaugh Bridge Carson River @ Genoa Lakes Golf Course Genoa Lakes Golf Course @ Gage C2 CRGL CVGL

NDEP NDEP CVCD

Sampling

Agency

NAC 445A. 154 Basin Use

146 8

Reach Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP CVCD

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Carson River

08-CAR-01-03

Mexican Gage Mexican Gage C13 CVMG

310167

NAC 445A. 155

146 <u>~</u> Basin Use Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id (Pre 1999) STORET Id

(Post 1998) Carson River

310015 08-CAR-01-04

C1 CVDR

New Empire Bridge Deer Run Road @ Gage

Page 10 of 34

Sampling

Agency

NDEP CVCD

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

156	146
445A.	se s
AC 4	usin Us

<u>...</u> Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Sampling Agency	NDEP DVCD DVCD
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name (Post 1998) (Pre 1999) Siver	Dayton Bridge Brunswick Canyon Dayton Bridge
Station Id	C11 DVB DVD
STORET Id (Pre 1999)	310022
STORET Id STORET Id (Post 1998) (Pre 1999) Carson River	08-CAR-01-05

NAC 445A. 157

146 8 8 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name			Weeks Bridge	Glancy Property	Minor Property	Weeks Bridge
Station Id			C10	DVG	DVM	DVW
STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310016			
STORET Id	(Post 1998)	Carson River	08-CAR-01-06			

Sampling

Agency

NDEP DVCD DVCD DVCD

Page 11 of 34

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-16

Sampling

Agency

Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for 10/01/1997 to 09/30/2003

158	146	8
VAC 445A	Basin Use	Reach Use

STORET IC	pI o	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	Station Name
71 21			
		LR1a	Lahontan Reservoir South Basin
		LR1e	Lahontan Reservoir East of Silver Springs Beach - Epilimnion
		LR1m	Lahontan Reservoir East of Silver Springs Beach - Metalimnion
		LR2e	Lahontan Reservoir Fishermans Point - Epilimnion
		LR2h	Lahontan Reservoir Fishermans Point - Hypolimnion
		LR2m	Lahontan Reservoir Fishermans Point - Metalimnion
		LR3e	Lahontan Reservoir Narrows Inlet - Epilimnion
		LR3h	Lahontan Reservoir Narrows Inlet - Hypolimnion
		LR3m	Lahontan Reservoir Narrows Inlet - Metalimnion
		LR4e	Lahontan Reservoir Narrows Outlet - Epilimnion
		LR4h	Lahontan Reservoir Narrows Outlet - Hypolimnion
		LR4m	Lahontan Reservoir Narrows Outlet - Metalimnion
		LR5	Lahontan Reservoir near Dam
		LR5e	Lahontan Reservoir near Dam - Epilimnion
		LR5h	Lahontan Reservoir near Dam - Hypolimnion
		LR5m	Lahontan Reservoir near Dam - Metalimnion
OR.	STORET Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	Station Name
7 0	1111		

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

W. Fork @ Topaz Lane

W₂

310023

09-WAL-05-01

Walker River

Page 12 of 34

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Page F-17

191	
445A.	
C	
M	

159 Reach Use Basin Use

Station

Sampling	Agency		
Station Name			
Station Id			
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station No.	$(Pre\ 1999)$		
STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Walker River	

Topaz Lake

TOP

310024

09-WAL-L01-01

159 8c NAC 445A. 162 Basin Use

Station

Reach Use

Station Name	
Station Id	
STORETId	$(Pre\ 1999)$
STORET Id	(Post 1998)

Sampling

NDEP

Agency

NDEP

Walker River

 W. Walk 	
310025 W10	
09-WAL-05-03	

ker @ Wellington

NAC 445A. 163

159 8d Basin Use Reach Use

Station

Station Name			Nordyke West	Hudson Gage
Station Id			W2	M7
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310026	310118
STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Walker River	09-WAL-05-04	09-WAL-05-02

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Page 13 of 34

159 NAC 445A. 164

Basin Use Reach Use

Station Name Station Id STORET Id STORET Id Station

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Sweet Water Creek SWC 310027 09-WAL-04-T01-A Walker River

NAC 445A. 165

쯍 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id Station Id STORET Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998) Walker River

310028 09-WAL-04-01

E. Fork @ Stateline

NAC 445A. 1655

Reach Use

159

Basin Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998) Walker River

09-WAL-04-05 09-WAL-04-02

East Fork @ Flying M Ranch E. Fork @ Elbow EF6 EFE

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page F-19

Page 14 of 34

0	(
Ŏ	Ĺ
1	7
Ą	
2	
4457	
4	
٠,	
Y	
	- 1

159 Reach Use Basin Use

Station

Sampling	Agency		NDEP	NDEP
tion Name			E. Fork @ lvy Ranch	lyke East
Station Id Station N			EF5 E.F	W3 Nor
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	(Pre 1999)		310112	310029
STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Walker River	09-WAL-04-03	09-WAL-04-04

159 8i NAC 445A. 167 Basin Use

Station

Reach Use

Station Name			Wabuska	Mason Gage @ Snyder Lane
Station Id			W4	6M
STORETIA	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310030	310117
STORET Id	(Post 1998)	Walker River	09-WAL-01-02	09-WAL-01-01

Sampling Agency

NDEP NDEP

159 NAC 445A. 168 Basin Use

<u>.</u>

Reach Use

Station

Station Name			Schurz Bridge
Station Id			WSB
STORETId	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310127
STORET Id STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Walker River	09-WAL-01-03

Sampling Agency

NDEP

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page F-20

Page 15 of 34

8	
\checkmark	
5	
4	
$\boldsymbol{\cup}$	
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	

159 Reach Use Basin Use

Station

	Sampling	Agency		NDEP
	ne			
	Station Nar			Desert Creek
	Station Id			20
	STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310033
10	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Nalker River	09-WAL-05-T01-A 310033
nonna			Walk	

NDEP

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Walker Lake @ Sprotsman's Beach Boat Dock

 \mathbb{M}

310652

09-WAL-L02-01

Walker River

NAC 445A. 1696

1693 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name			Walker Lake 2 South	Walker Lake 2 South - Epilimnion	Walker Lake 2 South - Hypolimnion	Walker Lake 2 South - Metalimnion	Walker Lake 3 Center	Walker Lake 3 Center - Epilimnion	Walker Lake 3 Center - Hypolimnion	Walker Lake 3 Center - Metalimnion	Walker Lake 4 North	Walker Lake 4 North - Epilimnion	Walker Lake 4 North - Hypolimnion	Walker Lake 4 North - Metalimnion	
Station Id			WL2	WL2e	WL2h	WL2m	WL3	WL3e	WL3h	WL3m	WL4	WL4e	WL4h	WL4m	
STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$														
STORET Id	(Post 1998)	Lake Studies	09-LAKE-L01-02	09-LAKE-L01-03	09-LAKE-L01-05	09-LAKE-L01-04	09-LAKE-L01-06	09-LAKE-L01-07	09-LAKE-L01-09	09-LAKE-L01-08	09-LAKE-L01-10	09-LAKE-L01-11	09-LAKE-L01-13	09-LAKE-L01-12	::::

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page F-21

Page 16 of 34

Page F-22

Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for 10/01/1997 to 09/30/2003

NAC 445A. 171

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Basin Use Reach Use

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id (Post 1998) (Pre 1999)

Chiatovich Creek @ Hwy Maintenance Station

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

310034 10-CEN-010-T03A

CHS

NAC 445A. 172 Basin Use 170

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name (Pre 1999)

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Post 1998)

Central

310035 10-CEN-010-T02A

Indian Creek

2

NAC 445A.173

Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Station Name

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

10-CEN-010-T01A 310036

Central

 Γ

Leidy Creek above Hydroelectric Plant

Page 17 of 34

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for 10/01/1997 to 09/30/2003

NAC 445A. 175
Basin Use 174 \exists Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id STORET Id

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

310037 Colorado River

CL6

Virgin River @ Mesquite

13-COL-01-T01-A

Basin Use

NAC 445A. 177

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORETId STORET Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Colorado River

310032 13-COL-01-T01-B

Virgin River @ Riverside CL6A

NAC 445A. 178

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Colorado River 13-COL-1-T1-1-B 13-COL-1-T1-1-A

BD1 BDSP1

Beaver Creek @ Beaver Dam State Park Beaver Dam Wash @ State Park, above Reservoir

Page 18 of 34

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

NAC 445A. 179

 \exists Basin Use Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id STORET Id

Snake Creek Above Hatchery @ Forest Service Boundary

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

SCH1 Great Salt Lake

11-GSL-301-T02A

NAC 445A. 184

Reach Use

183 Basin Use

Station

Station Id STORET Id (Pre 1999) STORET Id (Post 1998)

Station Name

Truckee River

310000 310216 310217 06-TRU-01-03 06-TRU-01-13 06-TRU-01-12 06-TRU-01-01 06-TRU-01-02 06-TRU-01-11

IRAJC

Truckee River @ Farad Truckee River above Juniper Cr Truckee River above Martis Cr Truckee River above Donner Cr Truckee River below Martis Cr Truckee River @ Tahoe City TRAMC TRDC TRMC TRTC

Sampling

Agency

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page 19 of 34

185	183	8p
NAC 445A.	Basin Use	Reach Use

Station		
STORET Id	STORET Id	Station
(Post 1998)	$(Pre\ 1999)$	
-		

Station Name			Truckee River @ Idlewild Park	Truckee River @ Circle C Ranch
Station Id			T2	T7
STORET Id	(Pre 1999)		310001	310092
STORET Id STORET Id	(Post 1998)	Truckee River	06-TRU-01-05	06-TRU-01-04

Sampling Agency

NDEP NDEP

r an h ; Ranch				
Truckee River @ Circle C Ranch				
7				
310092				
01-03	1.186	183	8c	
06-TRU-01-04	NAC 445A. 186	Basin Use	Reach Use	Station

Station				
STORETIA	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	Station Id	Station Name	Sam
(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	$(Pre\ 1999)$			Age
Truckee River				
06-TRU-01-06	310002	T 3	Truckee River @ E. McCarran Bridge	Ω

kee River @ E. McCarran Bridge	Sundumo	Agency	NDEP
kee River @ E. McCarran Bridge			
			Truckee River @ E. McCarran Bridge
	The state of the s	$(Pre\ 1999)$	310002
(Pre 1999)		(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Truckee River 06-TRU-01-06

NAC 445A.187				
Basin Use 183				
Reach Use 8d				
Station				
STORET Id STORET Id Station I	T Id Station	p	Station Name	
(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	(66)			
Truckee River				

Truckee River @ Lockwood Truckee River @ Vista Gage

LOCK T4A

310006

06-TRU-01-14 06-TRU-01-07

Sampling Agency

NDEP NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-25

Page 20 of 34

.188	183
445A	Use
NAC	Basin l

20 Reach Use

Station

Station Name
Station Id
STORET Id
STORET Id STORET Id

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

06-TRU-01-15 06-TRU-01-08 Truckee River

310004

Truckee River @ Derby Dam Truckee River @ Tracy DERBY T5

NDEP NDEP

Sampling

Agency

Basin Use

NAC 445A. 189

183 쯍 Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id STORET Id

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Truckee River

310005 06-TRU-01-16 06-TRU-01-09

Truckee River @ Painted Rock Truckee River @ Wadsworth PROCK T6

NDEP NDEP

Sampling

Agency

NAC 445A. 190

183 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id STORET Id

Station Name

Station Id

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

06-TRU-01-10 Truckee River

T10 310514

Truckee River @ Nixon

NDEP

Sampling

Agency

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page F-26

Page 21 of 34

NAC 445A. 191

1905 $\frac{1}{2}$ Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id	STORET Id	Station Id	STORET Id Station Id Station Id Station Name	Sampling
(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	(Pre 1999)			Agency
Incline Creeks				
06-TAH-L01-02	310588	CR	Cave Rock	NDEP
06-TAH-L01-01	310128	SH	Sand Harbor	NDEP
NAC ASSA 1015	V			

NAC 445A. 1915

1912 $\frac{1}{2}$ Reach Use Basin Use

Station

Sampling

Agency

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name			1st Creek @ Lakeshore Drive	2nd Creek @ 2nd Creek Drive	2nd Creek @ Lakeshore Drive	3rd Creek @ Lakeshore Drive	E Fork 3rd Creek @ HWY 431	Incline Creek Below Diamond Peak	Incline Creek @ Lakeshore Drive	W. Fork Incline Creek @ HWY 431	Wood Creek @ Lakeshore Drive
Station Id			18	2A	2B	3B	EF3A	EFINCA	INCL	WFINCA	MO
STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310057	310058	310059	310064	310063	310066	310067	310065	310061
STORET Id	(Post 1998)	Incline Creeks	06-TAH-01-T02-A	06-TAH-01-T01-A	06-TAH-01-T01-B	06-TAH-01-T04-A	06-TAH-04-T04-A	06-TAH-01-T05-A	06-TAH-01-T05-B	06-TAH-05-T06-A	06-TAH-01-T03-A

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page 22 of 34

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Stations Pr	oviding	Data fo	Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for	10/01/1997 to 09/30	06/30
NAC 445A. 192 Basin Use 170 Reach Use NU					
Station STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	Station Name		
Colorado River 13-COL-01-02	310055	CL1	Laughlin		
NAC 445A. 193 Basin Use 170 Reach Use NU					
Station STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	Station Name		
Colorado River 13-COL-01-01	310054	CL2	Willow Beach Pier		
NAC 445A. 195 Basin Use 194 Reach Use NU					
Station STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	Station Name		
		LIM3 LIM4 OABI OAI OAI	Lake Mead in Las Vegas Bay Lake Mead below Overton Marina Surface Overton Bay Overton Bay 8m Headpoint Overton Arm Headpoint Overton Arm 9m		

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Sampling

Agency

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-28

Page 23 of 34

NAC 445A. 197

 \exists Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id STORET Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Colorado River

LM1 UD2

Lake Mead Bay Lake Mead inlet of Las Vegas Wash Las Vegas Wash Upper Delta Mid

NAC 445A.20I

200 NU Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Sampling

Agency

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Colorado River

310070 13-COL-01-T03-A 13-COL-01-T03-B

CL3A CL3A LD1 LD2 LD3 LVW1

Las Vegas Wash @ Northshore Dr Las Vegas Wash above Lake Las Vegas Las Vegas Wash Lower Delta North Las Vegas Wash Lower Delta Mid Las Vegas Wash Lower Delta South Las Vegas Wash below Lake Las Vegas and above Delta

Page 24 of 34

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Sampling Agency

NDEP

Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for 10/01/1997 to 09/30/2003

NAC 445A. 203 Basin Use 202 Reach Use NU					
Station STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	Station Name		
Humboldt River 04-HUM-01-08	310080	HS4	Osino Cutoff		
NAC 445A. 204 Basin Use 202 Reach Use NU					
Station STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	Station Name		
Humboldt River 04-HUM-01-01 04-HUM-01-02	310081 310082	HS5 HS6	Carlin Palisade		
NAC 445A. 205 Basin Use 202 Reach Use NU					
Station STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	Station Name		
Humboldt River 04-HUM-01-T03-C 04-HUM-01-03 04-HUM-01-T03-B 04-HUM-01-T03-B		HS13 HS7 PC2 PC3	Pine Creek Battle Mountain Pine Creek @ N. Tomera Ranch Pine Creek @ S. Tomera Ranch	kanch kanch	

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Page 25 of 34

Sampling Agency

A. 200	202	\exists
442A	Use	Use
NAC	Basin	Reach

	Station Name	
	l Station Id	
	STORET Id STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$
Station	STORET Id	(Post 1998)

Sampling Agency

NDEP

		US0
(rre 1999)		210001
(F081 1996) (Fre 1999)	Humboldt River	

1. 401	202	\mathbb{R}
JC++ OUAT	Basin Use 202	Reach Use

	Sampling	Agency		NDEP
	Station Name			Imlay
	Station Id Station No			HS9
	STORET Id	(Pre 1999)		310085
Station	STORET Id STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Humboldt River	04-HUM-01-05

	Imlay
	HS9
	310085
Humboldt River	04-HUM-01-05

05 310085 HS9 Imlay	NAC 445A, 20

202 NU

Basin Use

		Station Name			Below Rye Patch Reservoir
		Station Id			He
		STORET Id	(Pre 1999)		310079
Reach Use NU	Station	STORET Id STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Humboldt River	04-HUM-01-06

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-31

Page 26 of 34

0	(
	(
21	(
•	
T	
12/	
4	
4	
4	
C	
V	
>	

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Reach Use Basin Use

Station

Station Name	
Station Id	
STORETIA	$(Pre\ 1999)$
STORET Id STORET Id S	(Post 1998)

Sampling

Agency

NDEP NDEP NDEP

Colorado River

Muddy River @ Glendale Muddy River Above Reid Gardner Muddy River @ Warm Springs Road CL4 MARG MR1 310071 13-COL-01-T02-B 13-COL-01-T02-A 13-COL-01-T02-D

NAC 445A. 211

Reach Use Basin Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id (Pre 1999) (Post 1998) Colorado River

Muddy River @ Overton

CL11

310095

13-COL-01-T02-C

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

214 NAC 445A. 216 Basin Use

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORETIA (Pre 1999) STORET Id (Post 1998)

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Snake River Drainage

Salmon Falls Creek 8 310041 03-SNA-50-01

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-32

Page 27 of 34

NAC 445A. 217
Basin Use 214

 \exists

Reach Use

Station

Station Name Station Id STORET Id STORET Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Snake River Drainage 03-SNA-40-01

63

Shoshone Creek

214 NU NAC 445A.218 Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Snake River Drainage 03-SNA-30-01-A

310043

E. F. Jarbidge Below Murphys

NAC 445A. 219

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Basin Use Reach Use

Station

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Station Name

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

Snake River Drainage

03-SNA-30-02-A

310044

Ε7

W. F. Jarbidge above Jarbidge

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

Page 28 of 34

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page F-33

Sampling Agency

NDEP

Stations Providing Data for the 303(d) Evaluation for 10/01/1997 to 09/30/2003

A. 220	214	$\frac{1}{2}$	
NAC 445A.	Basin Use	Reach Use	Station

Station Name		
Station Id		
STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$	
STORET Id	(Post 1998)	

W. F. Jarbidge below Jarbidge
E6
310045
03-SNA-30-02-B

			214	Basin Use
			A. 221	NAC 445A. 221
W. F. Jarbidge below Jarb	9 E	310045	03-SNA-30-02-B	03-SNA

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Reach Use

				W. F. Bruneau River @ Mink Ranch	
	Station Name			W. F. Bruneau Riv	
	Station Id			E5	
	STORETIA	(Pre 1999)		310046	
Station	STORET Id STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Snake River Drainage	03-SNA-20-01	NAC AAEA DON

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

	STORET Id StORET Id Station Id Station Name			W. F. Bruneau River @ Mink Ranch				
	Station Id			E5				
	STORET Id	$(Pre\ 1999)$		310046				
nonna	STORET Id	(Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Snake River Drainage	03-SNA-20-01	NAC 445A. 222	Basin Use 214	Reach Use NU	

Sampling Agency		NDEP	NDEP
Station Name		Below Wildhorse Reservoir	E. F. Owyhee River above Mill Creek
Station Id		E12	E4
STORET Id (Pre 1999)			310047
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station N (Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Snake River Drainage	03-SNA-10-02	03-SNA-10-03

Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Appendix F - Summary of Data and Information Evaluated for the 2002 and 2004 303(d) Impaired Waters List EPA APPROVED FINAL: November 2005

Page 29 of 34

NAC 445A. 223
Basin Use 214

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Reach Use

Station

Sampling Agency E. F. Owyhee below Mill Creek E. F. Owyhee below Slaughterhouse Creek E. F. Owyhee River @ China Dam Mill Creek @ Patsville Station Name Station Id E15 E16 E3 STORET Id STORET Id (Pre 1999) 310591 310048 03-SNA-10-T01-A 03-SNA-10-04 03-SNA-10-05 03-SNA-10-06 Snake River Drainage (Post 1998)

NDEP NDEP NDEP

NAC 445A. 224

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Basin Use

Reach Use

Station

Station Name STORET Id STORET Id Station Id

Sampling

Agency

NDEP

(Pre 1999) (Post 1998)

310049 Snake River Drainage 03-SNA-10-07

E. F. Owyhee River @ Boney Lane

2

Page 30 of 34

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

NAC 445A. NSS
Basin Use NU
Reach Use NU

Station

STORET Id (Post 1998)	STORET Id (Pre 1999)	Station Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station Name (Post 1998) (Pre 1999)	Sampling Agency
Black Rock Desert 02-QUI-01-T01-A 02-QUI-01-T04-A 02-QUI-01-T05-A 02-QUI-01-T03-A 02-QUI-01-T3-1A 02-QUI-01-T02-A		CROW-1 FALLS HC1 MCDT-1 RISE-1	Crowley Creek @ Jordan Meadow Road Falls Canyon @ Canyon Mouth Horse Canyon @ Canyon Mouth McDermitt Creek Riser Creek Washburn Creek	
Carson Kiver 08-CAR-01-T01-A 08-CAR-05-T01-A	310510 310060	C22 C55 CVA CVBD CVBS CVCS CVIC CVIC CVM MS31	Truckee Canal Brockliss Slough Ambrosetti Creek @ Gage Big Ditch @ Mottsville Ln Brockliss Slough @ Mottsville Ln Cottonwood Slough After Passing Under Hwy 88 Indian Creek @ Dresslerville Lane Linear Ditch @ SW Corner of Empire Golf Course East Fork @ Markleeville Below Confluence of Markleeville Creek Rocky Slough @ End of Glenwood Drive West Fork Slough @ Muller Lane Martin Slough upstream of pond 1 near Gilman Road Martin Slough dynwrstream of pond 2 near Gilman Road	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N

Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page 31 of 34

Page F-36

NAC 445A. NSS
Basin Use NU
Reach Use NU

Station

STORET Id	STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	Station Id	Station Name S	Sampling
(Post 1998)	$(Pre\ 1999)$			Agency
Central				
10-1-T3-1-2-1-A		BDEN-1	Big Den Creek	NDEP
10CEN-001-T4-1A		CHER-1	Cherry Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-014-T01A		CHRR-1	Cherry Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-012-T01A		CLEARC	Clear Creek near Clear Creek Ranch	NDEP
10-CEN-14-T4-1A	-	COLDC1	Cold Creek @ Cold Creek Campground	NDEP
10-CEN-001-T01A		COYO-1	Coyote Creek	NDEP
10-CE-5-T1-1-1A		CW1	Cottonwood Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-001-T4A		EDWA-1	Edwards Creek	NDEP
10CEN-001-T3-2A		HORS-1	Horse Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-7-L1-1-A		K14	Mayhew Creek above Ruby Valley Road	NDEP
10-CEN-14-T3-1A		NCOTT-1	North Cottonwood Creek	NDEP
10-CE-4-T3-3-1A		001	Ophir Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-014-T02A		PINE-1	Pine Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-010-T04A		10C	Trail Creek Canyon above Pond	NDEP
10-CEN-001-T02A		UNION-1	Union Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-014-T04A		WC1	Willow Creek @ Willow Creek Campground	NDEP
04-CEN-101-T1-A		WILLC	Willow Creek below Angle Lake	NDEP
10-1-T3-1-1-A		WILO-1	Willow Creek	NDEP
10-CEN-4-T3-3-A		WIS	Wisconsin Creek	NDEP
Colorado River				
13-1-T2-1-1-2-A		CS1	Crystal Springs below Hiko Junction	NDEP
13T2-1-1-1-1A		FORE-1	Forest Home Creek	NDEP
13-COL-1-T2-1-C		MV1	Meadow Valley Wash above Elgin	NDEP
13-COL-1-T2-1-B		MV2	Meadow Valley Wash above Echo Canyon Reservoir	NDEP
13-COL-1-T2-1-A		MVW1	Meadow Valley Wash @ USGS Gage (above Elgin)	NDEP

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page 32 of 34

NAC 445A. NSS
Basin Use NU
Reach Use NU

Station

STORET Id STORET Id Station Id Station N	STORET Id	Station Id	ame	Sampling
(Post 1998)	$(Pre\ 1999)$			Agency
Humboldt River				
04HUM-1-T6-3-1A		CABINCK	Cabin Creek below FR084 Road	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T04-A	310091	HS10	Toulon Drain	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T10-A		JACK-1	Jackstone Creek	NDEP
04-1T6-3-2-2-1A		LYEC	Lye Creek above Campground	NDEP
04-1-T6-3-2-1-A		ROAD	Road Creek	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T05-A		ROCK-1	Rock Creek	NDEP
04-HUM-02-T01-A		SAMMYCK	Sammy Creek above Confluence with NF Humboldt	NDEP
04-1-T6-3-3-1-B		SH1	Stonehouse Creek above SR 290	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T09-A		SHER-1	Sherman Creek	NDEP
04-1-T6-3-3-1-A		SHU	Stonehouse Creek (Upper) below Abel Creek Trib.	NDEP
04-HUM-01-T7-4A		MCU	Washington Creek (Upper)	NDEP
Lake Studies				
13-LAKE-R01-01		cs	Cold Springs Reservoir	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-01		SM	Sparks Marina - 1m from Surface	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-04		SMC	Sparks Marina Cove	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-05		SMCm	Sparks Marina Cove - Metalimnion	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-02		SMh	Sparks Marina - Hypolimnion	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-03		SMm	Sparks Marina - Metalimnion	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-06		SMWS	Sparks Marina West Side	NDEP
08-LAKE-R01-07		SMWSm	Sparks Marina West Side - Metalimnion	NDEP
13-LAKE-R03-01		TULE-1	Tule Meadows Reservoir @ Outlet	NDEP
06-LAKE-L01-01		۸L	Virginia Lake	NDEP

NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444

Page 33 of 34

NAC 445A. NSS
Basin Use NU
Reach Use NU Reach Use

Station

Sampling	Agency		NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP		NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP	NDEP		N DE	NOEP
Station Name			East Fork Trout Creek	Jack Greek	Merritt Creek	Mainstem Trout Creek @ Rain Gage	Sun Creek below Humboldt National Forest Boundary	West Fork Trout Creek		Alexander Ditch @ Short Lane	Rio Poco Drain	Boynton Slough @ E. McCarran	Yori Drain @ Steamboat Creek	Thomas Creek @ Hwy 395	Thomas Creek near Thomas Creek Buiness Park	Dry Creek @ Sierra Pacific	Evans Creek @ Sierra Pacific	Evans Creek	Browns Creek @ Joy Lake Road	Winters Creek	Davis Creek @ Gage	Lewers Greek	Muskgrove Creek	Thomas Creek near Alexander Pond	Steamboat Ditch @ Rhodes Road		Alum Creek @ Truckee	North Truckee Drain
Station Id			ETRT-1	25	MERR-1	MTRT-1	SUNCK	WTRT-1		SB12	SB14	SB16	SB18	SB21A	SB21B	SB22	SB24	SB25	SB31	SB32	SB35	SB37	SB38	SB39	SB6		SB26	<u>n</u>
STORETId	$(Pre\ 1999)$									310209	310210	310211	310213												310204			310513
STORET Id STORET Id Station Id	(Post 1998)	Snake River Drainage	03-SNA-50-T1-1A	03-SNA-30-T02-A	03-SNA-20-T01-A	03-SNA-50-T01-A	03-SNA-50-T02-A	03-SNA-50-T1-2A	Steamboat Creek	06-STE-01-T05-A	06-STE-01-T06-A	06-STE-01-T07-A	06-STE-01-T08-A	06-STE-01-T12-A	06-STE-01-T12-B	06-STE-01-T7-1A	06STE-1-T7-1-1C	06STE-1-T7-1-1A	06-STE-01-T09-A	06-STE-R01-T05B	06-STE-R01-T04A	06-STE-R1-T1-1A	06-STE-R01-T01A	06-STE-01-T12-C	06-STE-01-T02-A	Truckee River	06-TRU-01-T04-A	06-1 KU-01-102-A

For all other agencies data contact the Bureau of Water Quaity Planning at (775) 687-9444 NDEP data may be accessed through http://ndep.nv.gov/bwqp/monitoringmap.htm Stations are grouped sequentially by NAC, Basin Use, Reach Use and Station

Page 34 of 34

Appendix G

NDEP Response to Comments Received On DRAFT 2004 303(d) List Dated December 2004

NDEP Response to Comments Received on DRAFT 2004 303(d) List Dated December 2004

Introduction

On January 21, 2005, NDEP provided public notice and solicited public comment on the DRAFT 2004 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies dated December 2004. The public comment period for receiving written comments was closed March 11, 2005, having been an extension of time from the initial comment period closing date of February 25, 2005. The DRAFT 2004 303(d) list (2004 DRAFT list) was also provided to EPA for review and comment to assist NDEP in preparing a FINAL 2004 303(d) list (2004 FINAL list) of impaired waterbodies.

NDEP received comments on the 2004 DRAFT list from two sources that have been addressed with response and, where applicable, used to modify the 2004 DRAFT list into a 2004 FINAL list by NDEP dated June 2005 [this document]. The written comments received have been incorporated into this Appendix for benefit of the reader. Written comments received include a:

- March 10, 2005 letter from Lawrence Bazel of STOEL RIVES, LLP on behalf of the Clean Water Coalition (City of Henderson, City of Las Vegas and Clark County Water Reclamation District dischargers to Las Vegas Wash in Clark County, Nevada). Comments from this letter are identified as **STOEL RIVES** (04/10/05 lttr) # x for the related NDEP response.
- February 25, 2005 letter from David Smith of EPA Region IX, TMDL Team. Comments from this letter are identified as **EPA-R9** (02/25/05 lttr) # x for the related NDEP response.
- March 11, 2005 letter from David Smith of EPA Region IX, TMDL Team. Comments from this letter are identified as **EPA-R9** (03/11/05 lttr) # x for the related NDEP response.

Comments and Responses

STOEL RIVES (04/10/05 lttr)

#1. There is no need to de-list Las Vegas Bay, because it was never listed.

Response Agreed. The EPA final approved 2002 303(d) list did not include Las Vegas Bay impaired by chlorophyll a.

Action The Las Vegas Bay – chlorophyll <u>a</u> de-list line in Table D-1 of the 2004 DRAFT list (page D-5) is removed for the 2004 FINAL list (page D-5).

<u>#2.</u> Las Vegas Bay should be removed from Table B-1 because criteria identified no longer exist.

Response Site conditions due to fall of Lake Mead water level since 2002 caused a change in the sampling condition for fixed stations LM4 and LM5 from those recognized when the standards were originally set. The dramatic change in site sampling conditions introduced uncertainty in obtaining consistent samples that could be relied on for standards assessment. The fixed stations previously identified as LM4 and LM5 have now been designated LVB2.7 and LVB3.5 and are now fixed to the confluence of the Las Vegas Wash and Bay, thus keeping a constant distance from confluence shoreline into the bay of 2.7 miles and 3.5 miles respectively.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> samples will be assessed as a waterbody warranting further investigation since samples for this pollutant have exceeded the RMHQ value of the waterbody more than 10% of the time in recent past list evaluation periods. Exceedance of a RMHQ value does not require the waterbody to be listed as impaired.

The Las Vegas Bay – chlorophyll a line in Table B-1 of the 2004 DRAFT list (page B-4) is removed Action for the 2004 FINAL list (page B-4) and is placed in Table C-1 (page C-4).

<u>#3.</u> Las Vegas Wash should be de-listed for suspended solids.

Response The information offered is supportive of standards compliance. The data is more comprehensive than that available in the NDEP data base and is considered more representative of the waterbody conditions than the periodic sampling accomplished by BWQP monitoring. Based on the gross data set, 11 exceedances out of 130 samples represent 8.5% and demonstrate that continued development of erosion control structures, among other BMP activities, might be controlling the waterbody total suspended solids. Removing exceedance samples for analysis is allowable under certain conditions as described in Nevada's Continuing Planning Process. Removing samples taken during excessive flow conditions is allowed; however, removing sample data for construction activity is not allowed since BMPs should be employed and other marginal flow regime data would only be acceptable if there is clear justification that it is associated with the excessive flow criteria. Based on reducing the sample exceedance by the 6 attributed to excessive flow, the resulting exceedance for the report period is 3.9%.

Action

The data evidence presented by the City of Henderson via the STOEL RIVES comment letter show compliance of the total suspended solids standard for the Las Vegas Wash. The Las Vegas Wash suspended solids line in Table A-1 of the 2004 DRAFT list (page A-9) is removed for the 2004 FINAL list (page A-9) and is placed in Table C-1 (page C-4). Additional monitoring is needed to confirm continued standards compliance.

Las Vegas Wash should be de-listed for iron. **#4.**

Response The data provided shows that for the listing period (data through 2003) there are 311 samples with 28 exceedances which equate to a marginal 9.0%. As suggested by the comment, the exceedance appears to be trending downward; however, the evidence for removing iron from Table A-1 is not as compelling enough to do so at this time given past listing reporting period values.

Action

Leave the Las Vegas Wash – iron line in Table A-1 of the 2004 DRAFT list (page A-9) on the 2004 FINAL list (page A-9) in Table A-1 (page A-9). Additional monitoring is needed to confirm continued standards compliance.

"Natural conditions" are non-discharge conditions, not pre-human conditions. #5.

Response

The discussion provided on interpretation of applying standards is accepted for possible application in future development of the Continuing Planning Process to provide clarity on this topic.

None necessary for this comment. Action

EPA-R9 (02/25/05 lttr)

Use of 10% Exceedance Rule and 5-7 Year Assessment Time Period. #1.

Response The discussion on applying shorter time frames and identifying different exceedance rates notably for toxics is acknowledged. The recommendations to change Nevada's evaluation criteria for different constituents have merit, but it also seems obvious that certain capabilities must be present in data base evaluation to implement the suggestions. At this time, modifying assessment criteria for individual constituents without more guidance from EPA could result in a listing that is incomprehensible, particularly if the evaluating criteria methods are not clearly identified for each constituent. NDEP is open to pursuing these recommendations with EPA for further refinement of the Continuing Planning Process to clarify and define the assessment criteria and process for toxic constituents.

Action Do not attempt to modify the DRAFT listing at this time by confusing the analysis period. Commit to work with EPA on identifying appropriate assessment time periods for various toxic parameters and incorporate them into the upcoming 2006 303(d) list.

#2. Minimum Sample Size.

Response Processing of the 2004 listing was consistent with the assessment process on minimum sample size as was used in the 2002 listing. The tables presented do not directly reflect the manner of listing decision.

Action Backup data supporting listing decisions have been compiled into PDF forms for constituents assessed in each water body and were provided for EPA use in March 2005. These forms will be posted for access on the BWQP website along with the proposed 2004 FINAL and EPA's review and approval when it is processed. Modification of the listing Tables to reflect the listing decision approach may be possible for future listings.

#3. Chronic Toxics Standard Assessments

Response Processing of chronic toxic standards without 4 day data sets is perplexing. Listings based on criteria and methods established for a standard are not absolute. EPA has offered alternate manners for assessment that appear plausible to apply using the data resources at hand. Resolving a procedure to identify exceedance of chronic toxics that is mutually compatible with NDEP and EPA can be pursued for future listing efforts as has been recommended.

Action Use data assessed as presented in 2004 DRAFT list as 2004 FINAL list. Commit to develop a revised assessment process to identify waterbodies having <u>potential</u> for chronic toxic standards exceedance and incorporate these into future listings.

#4. Exclusion of Zinc Data

Response NDEP has strong reservation in listing waterbodies relating to what appears to be strongly correlated to sampling or lab analysis activities for this parameter rather than for the waterbodies themselves. Although our investigations into our QA/QC process is still inconclusive as to the nature of the inconsistencies of the Zinc data analysis, NDEP feels it is prudent to exhaust all efforts to identify any process and analysis error before listing waterbodies as impaired for Zinc.

Action NDEP will complete investigation of collection and lab analysis procedures to possibly eliminate them as potential means of sample contamination. NDEP will commit to resolving with EPA Region 9 what are appropriate analysis and listing criteria for utilizing Zinc data sets beginning with the 2006 listing.

#5. Treatment of Natural Conditions and Standards.

Response As stated in the comment, there is difficulty in applying standards expressed as an allowable departure from natural conditions during an assessment. The initial difficulty arises in identifying a "measurable" base point of the natural condition, followed by being able to establish what level of departure should be allowed before listing. Establishing an analysis approach utilizing the various data parameters that are available for waterbodies that represent surrogate values to the standard might be an appropriate way to make determinations to list for a specified standard. A challenge remains on providing the means of uniformly making determinations yet retaining the flexibility necessary for evaluating the individual waterbodies. NDEP does not have the resource capability to treat every waterbody as a study area to collect data sets necessary to provide more certainty to listing decisions. Further, a listing based solely on this criteria would result in a TMDL that would have little relevance to being able to take actions necessary to return the constituent to meeting the

"measurable" base point condition (the standard) since the evaluation is essentially a direct reflection of the natural condition and the standard will be measuring itself. Nonetheless, NDEP is open to discussion with EPA on how this standard can be applied.

NDEP commits to resolving with EPA Region 9 identifying and developing appropriate assessment Action approaches and criteria for evaluation and incorporate them into future listings.

Consideration of More Recent Data #6

Response The data sets through September 2003 were used to establish consistency of practice in analyzing and evaluating waterbodies for the 2 year listing cycles. The value of incorporating other data gathered from public sources is well recognized; however, the resource commitment to evaluate unrelated and sometimes extensive data sources is intensive and would have delayed development of a DRAFT listing longer. The 2002 listing was extensive and through in including data evaluation outside of the BWQP data base and the 2002 listing served as the core for the 2004 DRAFT listing. An intensive and exhaustive effort to keep potential evaluation data assembled and analyzed from all existing sources in every 2 year listing period is not achievable at this time. Solicitation of comment on the 2004 DRAFT listing provided opportunity for further public and affected entity input to submit related waterbody information.

Action

The 2004 FINAL listing maintains the time period through September 2003 for data set evaluation and list development. Additional data provided by comment was reviewed and utilized when appropriate in modifying the 2004 DRAFT list for developing the NDEP 2004 FINAL list.

Format for List Submission #7.

Response NDEP has not had the capacity to geo-reference the list submission, NAC defined waterbody designation, into the integrated report recommended format, EPA assessment database (ADB). Although somewhat cumbersome, the NAC waterbody designations are cross-referenced to the EPA STORET station IDs in Appendix F.

Action

NDEP will expand the BWQP program GIS capability and provide correlation to the EPA ADB. Depending on resource availability, this may be accomplished for use in the 2006 303(d) list.

Documentation Supporting Individual Water Body Assessments

Response The compiled analysis reports for NAC designated waterbodies assessing their condition based on data sets available to BWQP comprise several hundred pages. The reports are not easily transmitted, so they have not been made a direct part of the 2004 DRAFT list or 2004 FINAL list; rather they are made available on the BWQP website in PDF file format for downloading or by direct request for the printed material from BWQP. These materials were transmitted to EPA in March 2005 to assist in their review of the 2004 DRAFT.

The documentation supporting individual water body assessments are posted on the BWQP website <u>Action</u> in PDF file format for downloading or by direct request to BWQP for printed materials.

#9. Page 1. Development of 2004 list.

Response Since the 2002 list provided the core for the 2004 DRAFT and 2004 FINAL, the discussion on 2002

development guidance seems appropriate for background information to the reader.

New text in 2004 FINAL, page 1, paragraph 3 for clarity on 2004 list development. Action

#10. Page 3. Consistency between 303(d) and 305(b) listing methodologies.

Response NDEP utilized the 10% exceedance criteria for both listings, although the reports are not integrated.

New text in 2004 FINAL, page 4, last paragraph reconciles the conflict presented in the 2004 DRAFT text.

#11. Page 14. Application of exceedance to entire lake.

Response The assumption that conditions in a lake being uniform throughout for standards evaluation, analysis and listing is a simplification to the listing process that NDEP is unwilling to support and apply at this time. It is well known that lake waters are more complex in their chemistry interactions thus deserve deliberated consideration when a decision to list must be made.

Action Provide clarity on the assessment process for utilizing samples taken on lake bodies in the Continuing Planning Process. Commit to work with EPA on resolving an acceptable analysis and listing process for lake bodies.

#12 Page 14. Absent discussion on watershed rotation program.

Response The State is still planning to implement a modified monitoring plan that involves heightened sampling of waterbodies in watersheds on a rotating basis. This was not discussed as part of the 2004 DRAFT or FINAL since the plan must be incorporated into 106 grant funding programs with EPA approval. NDEP is preparing workplans for State Fiscal Years 2006 and 2007 (July 2005 – June 2007) that will launch this monitoring strategy.

Action NDEP will complete SFY 2006 and 2007 workplans and present them to EPA for approval. The workplans will include implementing a modified monitoring program. The program application and objectives will be described in the 2006 listing document.

#13 Page 20. Consider raising TMDL priority of Truckee River.

Response BWQP agrees that the priority should be raised as local stakeholders proceed with studies and assessment of their discharge capability. NDEP recognizes that developing a Truckee River TMDL may be necessary within the next 2 years.

Action New text in 2004 FINAL, page 20, paragraph 3 raises the TMDL priority from 3 to 2. Depending on program resource commitment, BWQP will stay abreast of the activities to the extent possible in the nearer timeframes. TMDL priority has been changed from 3 to 2 for Truckee River segments in Table A-1 (page A-5) of the 2004 FINAL list from the 2004 DRAFT list.

F-1. State must provide updated information on data sources used for 2004 list.

Response The data sources used for the 5-year period in the 2002 list are described in Appendix F, pages F-1 to F-4. Since the 2002 list is used as the core for the 2004 list, it was relevant to present for the reader. Data sources used for the 7-year period in developing the 2004 list are presented in Appendix F, pages F-5 to F-39.

Action No action necessary for the 2004 FINAL.

EPA-R9 (03/11/05 lttr)

#1. Duplication of waters in Table A-1 (2004 Impaired Water List) and Table D-1 (De-Listing of 2002 Impaired Water List) is confusing. Subject waters are Snow Creek, Jerritt Canyon Creek and Mill Creek.

Response In creating the 2004 DRAFT document, the intent was to remove the three subject water bodies from the impaired water body table list (Appendix A, page A-1) and place them on the de-list table (Appendix D, page D-1). During the Table editing process, the waters were retained in Table A-1

after "copying" them into Table D-1 and inadvertently forgetting to "delete" them from Table A-1. The confusion is due to an editing error not caught in proofreading the 2004 DRAFT.

Action Correct any duplication for the 2004 FINAL list.

waterbodies will be evaluated.

#2. The subject waters, Snow Creek, Jerritt Canyon Creek and Mill Creek, EPA's position is that these are waters of the United States requiring standards be applied to them and evaluated for conformance when data is available for review. EPA believes these waters must be included on the 303(d) list.

Response NDEP's field assessment that these waters do not meet the tributary rule requirements to apply standards set for downstream waters with designated uses merit the proposed de-listing action. NDEP does apply the "free from" standards (NAC 445A.121) to all waterbodies in the state (waters of the US) to evaluate them for listing purposes. Further, waterbodies not having their own metric standard designated are evaluated against the standards established for a downstream waterbody by the tributary rule for connectivity. Absent this connectivity, applying a metric standard associated with a specific designated use only becomes meaningful if the potential designated uses of the "localized" waterbody are known. When sample data is available for assessment on a "localized" waterbody the data should be only be evaluated for exceedance of the metric value for the designated use standards associated with the subject waterbody. NDEP believes removal of these subject waters from the 303(d) list is appropriate, albeit that dealing with circumstances of this nature have not been defined in the existing Continuing Planning Process (CPP). NDEP will continue the listing of these subject waterbodies until the CPP can be updated to establish how assessment of "localized"

Action List the subject waterbodies in Table A-1 (Appendix A, page A-1) of the 2004 FINAL list. Remove the editing error duplication of these subject waterbodies from Table D (Appendix D, page D-) for the 2004 FINAL list. Commit to update the CPP to describe how assessment of "localized" waterbodies will be evaluated for future listings.